

1 light of the appearance by Mr. Winder. (ECF No. 14.)

The court will issue a separate order setting this matter for a status conference. At that time, the court will address Plaintiff's first amended complaint. Specifically, the court will inquire of counsel whether Plaintiff intends to proceed with the first amended complaint or file an amended pleading. The court will also address with Plaintiff's counsel the pending motions for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, and whether Plaintiff intends to proceed with those motions.

The general rule under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A is that "[t]he court shall review ... a complaint in a
civil action in which a prisoner seeks redress from the governmental entity or officer or employee of a
governmental entity" and "shall identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of
the complaint" if it is "frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted;
or ... seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief." 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a),
(b).

13 Section 1915A does not expressly differentiate between represented and unrepresented prisoner cases with regard to screening, and there is no authority addressing this issue. This court typically does 14 15 not screen section 1983 prisoner cases where the plaintiff is represented by counsel. For one thing, the 16 pleading obligations of an attorney under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 tend to substantially reduce 17 the incidence of claims that are frivolous or otherwise patently noncognizable on their face. Pro se 18 litigants are not attorneys and should not be expected to know how to draft pleadings as if they were. 19 Judicial screening of prisoner complaints serves to prevent prisoner complaints which are truly difficult, 20 if not impossible to understand, from being served upon defendants. Screening of represented cases to 21 decipher the allegations and claims is usually unnecessary. See, e.g., Nordstrom v. Rvan, 762 F.3d 903, 22 907 n. 1 (9th Cir. 2014) (noting that the "purpose of § 1915A is to ensure that the targets of frivolous 23 or malicious suits need not bear the expense of responding"); O'Neal v. Price, 531 F.3d 1146, 1153 (9th 24 Cir. 2008) (explaining that the PLRA's screening provision was intended to "conserve judicial resources 25 by authorizing district courts to dismiss nonmeritorious prisoner complaints at an early stage"). As such, 26 the court will not further screen complaints in this counseled prisoner case. 27 111

28 ///

1	Before the status conference, Plaintiff's counsel may file an amended pleading, as he sees fit,
2	withdraw any of the pending motions, or file a notice advising the court whether or how he intends to
3	proceed with the first amended complaint and any pending motions.
4	The application to proceed in forma pauperis will be deferred until after the parties complete the
5	early mediation conference process.
6	The motion for service of the first amended complaint (ECF No. 11) is DENIED WITHOUT
7	<u>PREJUDICE</u> . A request for service of the operative pleading may be entertained after the early
8	mediation conference is completed, if necessary.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	DATED: August 30, 2017.
11	With G. Cobb
12	WILLIAM G. COBB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3