

1 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit’s decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the
2 view that district courts are not required to review “any issue that is not the subject of an
3 objection.”). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge’s recommendation, then
4 the court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F.
5 Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge’s recommendation to
6 which no objection was filed).

7 Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to
8 determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cooke’s R&R. The R&R recommends that
9 this action be dismissed with prejudice based upon Plaintiff’s failure to file an amended
10 complaint that remedies the defects identified in the Court’s order. After reviewing the
11 filings, including Plaintiff’s complaint, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge’s
12 recommendation.

13 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and
14 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Valerie P. Cooke (ECF No. 8) is accepted and
15 adopted in its entirety.

16 It is ordered that this action is dismissed with prejudice based on plaintiff’s failure
17 to file an amended complaint in compliance with the court’s orders (ECF Nos. 3, 7).

18 It is further ordered that the Clerk enter judgement accordingly and close this
19 case.

20 DATED THIS 9th day of January 2018.

21
22 
23 _____
24 MIRANDA M. DU
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26
27
28