Doc. 22 Follett v. LeGrand et al 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 8 9 LOREN RAYMOND FOLLETT 10 Petitioner, 3:16-cv-00370-HDM-WGC 11 VS. **ORDER** 12 ROBERT LeGRAND, et al., 13 Respondents. 14 15 16 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents were due to file their answer by June 12, 2017. See Order entered March 27, 2017 (ECF No. 19); Notice of Abandonment of Claim filed April 12, 17 18 2017 (ECF No. 20). 19 On June 8, 2017, respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 21), requesting a 20 29-day extension of time, to July 11, 2017. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of 21 time. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary for personal reasons -- his 22 family's relocation. The court finds that respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good 23 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time 24 requested. 25 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF 26 No. 21) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until **July 11, 2017**, to file their answer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered July 6, 2016 (ECF No. 2) shall remain in effect.

Dated this 13th day of June, 2017.

Howard D M: Killer
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE