27

28

1 ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General 2 DARBY G. PHELPS, Bar No. 14599 Deputy Attorney General 3 State of Nevada Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division 4 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 5 Tel: (775) 684-1159 E-mail: dphelps@ag.nv.gov 6 Attorneys for Defendants 7 Adam Brendel, John "James" Buchanan, Timothy Howard and William Miller 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 10 11 THOMAS JENSEN. Case No. 3:16-cv-00407-MMD-CBC 12 Plaintiff. 13 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO v. FILE MOTION FOR SUMMARY **JUDGMENT** ADAM BRENDEL, et al., 14 Defendants. (First Request) 15 16 17 Defendants Adam Brendel, John "James' Buchanan, Timothy Howard and William Miller, by 18 and through counsel Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Darby G. Phelps, 19 Deputy Attorney General, hereby submit their Motion for Extension of Time to File Motion for 20 Summary Judgment. This Motion is based on Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), the 21 following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and all papers and pleadings on file in this action. 22 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 23 I. **ARGUMENT** Defendants file this Motion for Extension of Time out of an abundance of caution. Filed 24 contemporaneously herewith is Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery 25 ("Response"). The Response requests that the Court amend the scheduling order and reopen discovery 26

for an additional sixty (60) days. Defendants did not receive Plaintiff's Requests for Production of

Documents or the correspondence Plaintiff attached as exhibits to his Motion to Compel Discovery

(ECF No. 22). The Response further requests that the Court move the dispositive motions deadline to thirty (30) days after the new discovery deadline. In the event the Court declines to reopen discovery and amend the scheduling order, Defendants respectfully request an additional thirty (30) day extension of time from the date of the entry of the order denying the request to amend the scheduling order.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) governs extensions of time and provides as follows:

When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

Defendants' request is timely and its limited nature will not hinder or prejudice Plaintiff's case. The requested thirty (30) day extension of time should permit Defendants time to file a motion for summary judgment after a decision on the request to amend the scheduling order. Defendants assert that the requisite good cause is present to warrant the requested extension of time.

For these reasons, Defendants respectfully request a thirty (30) day extension of time from the date of the order denying the request to amend the scheduling order, if applicable.

DATED this 20th day of November, 2018.

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General

By:

DARBY G. PHELPS
Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
Bureau of Litigation
Public Safety Division

Attorneys for Defendants

IT IS SO ORDERED.

U.S. MAGISTRADE JUDGE

DATED: 11/27/2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

| ı | |
|---|--|
| | I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that |
| | on this 20th day of November, 2018, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing, MOTION FOR |
| l | EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, by U.S. District |
| | Court CM/CFE Electronic Filing to: |
| | Thomas Jensen, #59748 |
| | Care of NNCC Law Librarian |
| | Northern Nevada Correctional Center |
| l | P.O. Box 7000 |

Carson City, NV 89702 lawlibrary@doc.nv.gov

An employee of the Office of the Attorney General