| Goldemith v IIS Bank NA

1 | 2

3

4

5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* *

6

27

28

_	Goldsmith v. U.S. Bank, NA
7	Bank of America v. Woodcrest HOA
8	Wells Fargo v. Tides I HOA
	Nationstar v. Aurora Canyon HOA
	Nationstar v. Shara Sunrise HOA
9	Nationstar v. Augusta Belford and Ellingwood HOA
40	1290 Village Walk Trust v. Bank of America
10	Bank of New York Mellon v. Log Cabin Manor HOA
11	US Bank v. Ski Way Trust
	Bank of New York Mellon v. Imagination North
40	Bank of America v. Green Valley South
12	Bank of America v. Inspirada Community Assoc.
40	Bank of America v. Sunrise Ridge
13	Deutsche Bank v. Independence II HOA
14	Bank of America v. Inspirada Community Assoc.
	Bank of America v. Peccole Ranch Community Assoc.
15	Nationstar v. SFR Investments Pool
	Bank of Amierca v. Treo North and South HOA
16	Wells Fargo v. SFR Investments Pool
'	US Bank v. Thunder Properties
17	PNC Bank v. Wingfield Springs Community Assoc. Nationstar v. Highland Ranch HOA
	US Bank v. White Lake Ranch Assoc.
18	GMAT Legal Title Trust v. SFR Investments Pool
	Bank of New York Mellon v. Thunder Properties
19	Bank of America v. North Truckee Townhomes HOA
	Bank of America v. Grand Sierra Resort Unit Owners
20	Bank of America v. Tenaya Creek HOA
	Bank of America v. Southwest Meadows HOA
21	Bank of America v. The Siena HOA
	Ditech Financial v. Highland Ranch HOA
22	Bank of America v. Aspen Meadows
	US Bank v. Fairway Pines Assoc.
23	LN Mgmt v. Gelgotas
	Bank of New York Mellon v. Saticoy Bay
24	Bank of America v. Southern Highlands
	Bank of America v. Spanish Bay HOA
25	Bank of America v. Aliante Master Assoc.
	Wells Fargo v. SFT Investments Pool
26	Nationstar v. Summit Hills HOA
	US Bank v. SFR Investments Pool

Bank of America v. Rainbow Bend HOA

Green Tree Servicing v. Rainbow Bend HOA

Countrywide v. Willow Hills Commons HOA

2:15-cv-00991-MMD-PAL 2:15-cv-01193-MMD-GWF 2:15-cv-01204-MMD-PAL 2:15-cv-01308-MMD-NJK 2:15-cv-01597-MMD-NJK 2:15-cv-01705-MMD-PAL 2:15-cv-01903-MMD-PAL 2:15-cv-02026-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00066-MMD-GWF 2:16-cv-00383-MMD-NJK 2:16-cv-00424-MMD-PAL 2:16-cv-00438-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-00467-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00536-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-00605-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-00660-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00703-MMD-GWF 2:16-cv-00845-MMD-NJK 3:15-cv-00240-MMD-VPC 3:15-cv-00328-MMD-WGC 3:15-cv-00349-MMD-VPC 3:15-cv-00375-MMD-VPC 3:15-cv-00477-MMD-VPC 3:15-cv-00518-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00097-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00135-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00146-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00158-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00183-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00188-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00194-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00413-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00446-MMD-VPC 2:15-cv-00112-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00246-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00404-MMD-NJK 2:16-cv-00691-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-00962-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-01069-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-01637-MMD-GWF 2:16-cv-01719-MMD-CWH 3:15-cv-00291-MMD-WGC 3:15-cv-00297-MMD-WGC 3:15-cv-00333-MMD-VPC

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
	1
1	
1	2
1	2
1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6
1 1 1	2 3 4 5
1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6
1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7
1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7

Bank of America v. Huffaker Hills
Deutsche Bank v. Williamsburg Townehomes HOA
Bank of America v. Esplanade at Damonte Ranch HOA
Bank of America v. Highland Ranch HOA
Bank of America v. Yorkshire Manor I HOA
Ditech Financial v. TBR I
US Bank v. Casoleil HOA
Ditech Financial v. Dorado HOA

3:15-cv-00502-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00004-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00120-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00154-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00192-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00227-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00307-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00351-MMD-VPC

ORDER LIFTING STAY

The Court *sua sponte* imposed a temporary stay in the above referenced cases because of the potential impact of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' decision in *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank*, *NA*, 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016). The stay order provides for the stay to be in effect until the mandate is issued in *Bourne Valley*. The Ninth Circuit issued the mandate on December 14, 2016, after denying a petition for en banc hearing and a petition for a stay pending the resolution of a petition for writ of certiorari. *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank*, *NA*, No. 15-15233 (9th Cir. Dec. 14, 2016) (mandate). Furthermore, the appellee specifically cited this Court's stay order in its request for a stay of the Ninth Circuit's mandate, arguing that delaying the mandate would promote efficiency. Nevertheless, the Ninth Circuit denied appellee's motion. Thus, *Bourne Valley*'s holding is binding precedent unless and until it is reversed, though such finality may not occur for months. Under these circumstances, the Court finds that a continued stay would not promote fairness or "secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of these stayed cases as mandated under Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Accordingly, the temporary stay will be lifted. Pending motions that were denied without prejudice when the stay was imposed may be refiled within thirty (30) days.

DATED THIS 19th day of January 2017.

MMRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28

27

22

23

24

25

26