Bennett v. \$	tate of Nevada		Doc. 18
1 2 3			
4	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
6			
7	GREGORY J. BENNETT,)	
8	Petitioner,)) 3:16-cv-00420-RCJ-WGC	
9	vs.))	
10	STATE OF NEVADA,	ORDER	
11	Respondent.		
12		_)	
13	Gregory Bennett is a prisoner in the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections.		
14	He filed a Petition for a Writ of Prohibition in this Court. The Magistrate Judge recommended		
15	dismissing the Petition without prejudice because Petitioner complained of constitutional		
16	violations at his trial in state court that were not cognizable under <i>Heck v. Humphrey</i> , 512 U.S.		
17	477 (1994). The Court agreed. The Court later denied a motion to recuse (and it hereby denies a		
18	successive motion to recuse currently pending). Petitioner appealed, and the Court of Appeals		
19	has remanded for the limited purpose of determining whether in forma pauperis status should		
20	continue on appeal. The Court finds that it should not, because the appeal is frivolous. See		
21	Hooker v. Am. Airlines, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002).		
22	///		
23	///		
24 1			
2	1	1 of 2	
3		Dockets	s.Justia.com

CONCLUSION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Recuse (ECF No. 12) is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that *in forma pauperis* status is REVOKED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 8th day of November, 2016.

ROBELT C. JONES United States District Judge