| 1 | | |
|----------|------------------------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 8 | ANTHONY SILVA,. | |
| 9 | Petitioner, | 3:16-cv-00431-HDM-VPC |
| 10 11 | vs. | |
| 11 | ISIDRO BACA, et al., | ORDER |
| 13 | Respondents. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Petitioner has submitted an | entirely hand written document styled as a netition |

Petitioner has submitted an entirely hand-written document styled as a petition for habeas corpus. ECF No. 1. Because he appears to be challenging his state custody under a state-court judgment, he is required to complete a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, on the court-approved form. Local Rule, LSR 2-1 (requiring plaintiffs appearing in *pro se* to file all of their complaints and petitions on the court's approved forms).

In addition, petitioner has failed to submit an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay
the filing fee. Accordingly, this matter has not been properly commenced. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and
Local Rule, LSR1-1, 1-2.

Thus, the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a habeas petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 on the form required by this court in a new action with either the \$5.00 filing fee or a completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on the proper form with both an inmate account statement for the past six months and a properly executed financial certificate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action shall be DISMISSED without prejudice to
 the filing of a habeas petition, on the required form, in a new action, accompanied by either a properly

1 completed pauper application or a filing fee of \$5.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall send petitioner two copies each of an application form to proceed *in forma pauperis* for incarcerated persons and a noncapital Section 2254 habeas petition form, one copy of the instructions for each form, and a copy of the papers that he submitted in this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is denied. Dated this 26th day of July, 2016.

Howard D MEKiller

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE