

1 ADAM PAUL LAXALT  
 Attorney General  
 2 BENJAMIN R. JOHNSON, Bar No. 10632  
 Deputy Attorney General  
 3 State of Nevada  
 Bureau of Litigation  
 4 Public Safety Division  
 100 N. Carson Street  
 5 Carson City, NV 89701-4717  
 Tel: (775) 684-1254  
 6 E-mail: bjohnson@ag.nv.gov

7 *Attorneys for Defendants*  
*Shane Escamilla, Stephen Mollet*  
 8 *Sandra Rose-Thayer and Melissa Travis*

9  
 10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 RICKIE SLAUGHTER,  
 13 Plaintiff,  
 14 vs.  
 15 ESCAMILLA, et al.,  
 16 Defendant.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00457-MMD-WGC  
**ORDER GRANTING  
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR  
 ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO RESPOND  
 TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION FOR  
 SANCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANT  
 ESCAMILLA**

17 Defendants, Shane Escamilla, Stephen Mollet, Sandra Rose-Thayer, and Melissa Travis, by and  
 18 through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Benjamin R.  
 19 Johnson, Deputy Attorney General, do hereby move this Court for an enlargement of time to file their  
 20 response to Plaintiff's 2<sup>nd</sup> Motion for Sanctions Against Defendant Escamilla (ECF No. 68). This  
 21 Motion is based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities and all papers and pleadings  
 22 on file herein.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

2 **I. INTRODUCTION AND RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

3 On July 2, 2018, Plaintiff filed his Second Motion for Sanctions Against Defendant Escamilla.  
4 (ECF No. 68). Counsel has been working on an opposition and needs to confer with Defendant Escamilla  
5 on some supplemental discovery responses. Defendants request a small enlargement of time, up to and  
6 including, July 20, 2018, in order to solve any disparities, amend discovery responses if needed and to  
7 submit a response to the motion to compel.

8 FED. R. CIV. P. 6(b)(1) governs enlargements of time and provides as follows:

9 When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may,  
10 for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if  
11 the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its  
extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the  
party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

12 The proper procedure, when additional time for any purpose is needed, is to present a request  
13 for extension of time before the time fixed has expired. *Canup v. Mississippi Val. Barge Line Co.*, 31  
14 F.R.D. 282 (W.D.Pa. 1962). Extensions of time may always be asked for, and usually are granted on a  
15 showing of good cause if timely made under subdivision (b)(1) of the Rule. *Creedon v. Taubman*, 8  
16 F.R.D. 268 (N.D. Ohio 1947).

17 Counsel requests an enlargement of time, up to and including July 20, 2018, to file an  
18 opposition to the Motion for Sanctions. Counsel needs additional time to confer with Defendant  
19 Escamilla regarding the disparity in discovery responses and to amend accordingly. Plaintiff will not  
20 be prejudiced by a small enlargement of time. Good cause exists to extend the time to file this motion  
21 and the request is not made to delay or for any improper purpose.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///


///

1 **II. CONCLUSION**

2 Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully request their motion for enlargement of time is  
3 granted and the deadline for filing an opposition to the second motion for sancitons be extended to July  
4 20, 2018.

5 DATED this 16th day of July, 2018.

6 ADAM PAUL LAXALT  
7 Attorney General

8 By:   
9 BENJAMIN R. JOHNSON  
10 Deputy Attorney General  
11 State of Nevada  
12 Bureau of Litigation  
13 Public Safety Division

14 *Attorneys for Defendants*

15 **APPROVED AND SO ORDERED:**

16   
17 WARREN G. COBB  
18 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19 **DATED: July 17, 2018**