handling of his appeal and his petition for certiorari affected the running of the statute of limitations under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d), with respect to some unspecified claim he would make in federal court. *See id.* O'Keefe does not, however, articulate any viable claim that he is held in custody in violation of the constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. *See id.*; *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

Moreover, the court takes notice that O'Keefe already has a petition for writ of habeas corpus pending in this court, in Case Number 3:14-cv-00477-RCJ-VPC. In that case, as in this case, O'Keefe seeks relief from his conviction and sentence in Case Number 08-C250630 in Nevada's Eighth Judicial District Court. *See* Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1), p. 1; Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, ECF No. 7 in Case No. 3:14-cv-00477-RCJ-VPC, p. 2. The record in Case Number 3:14-cv-00477-RCJ-VPC reveals that on July 27, 2016, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals remanded the case to this court for further proceedings, and the case is now pending in this court. *See* Memorandum, ECF No. 30 in Case Number 3:14-cv-00477-RCJ-VPC. It appears that any claim by O'Keefe that his custody in state prison is contrary to federal law -- and any argument regarding the application of the statute of limitations to any such claim -- must be made in that action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner is denied a certificate of appealability.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

Dated this 10th day of August, 2016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Howard & MEKiller