Riggs v. Sandie et al

Doc. 6

conviction, and the invalidity of the state statutes used to convict him, as such clams must be brought in a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, after exhausting state administrative remedies. (ECF No. 4.) The Magistrate Judge further recommends that Plaintiff's claims against Judges Deriso, Mancuso and Flanagan be dismissed with prejudice because these judges are entitled to absolute immunity. In his objection, Plaintiff contends that he seeks to "vacate" the state court judgment and hold the judicial officers accountable. (ECF No. 5.) The Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that the proper vehicle for Plaintiff is through a petition for habeas corpus and his claims against the judicial officers involved in his proceedings are precluded because they enjoy absolute immunity from suit. The Court will therefore adopt the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation in full.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 4) is accepted and adopted in its entirety. Plaintiff's claims based on double jeopardy, an invalid search that led to his conviction, and the invalidity of the state statues used to convict him are dismissed without prejudice. Plaintiff's claims against Judges Deriso, Mancuso and Flanagan are dismissed with prejudice.

The Clerk is instructed to close this case.

DATED THIS 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2017.

MIRANDA M. DU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE