

In the court's extensive experience with the mediation and settlement conference process, parties
 would frequently express pessimism that their case had any chance of settlement. Yet, on numerous
 occasions, the parties were indeed able to come to an accord and successfully resolved their dispute.
 Certainly not all of the "unsettle-able" cases settled, but many do. One fact that is fairly undisputable is
 that if the parties do *not* at least undertake a settlement dialogue, the case will most definitely *not* settle.

Even if a case does not settle, there is nevertheless a benefit to the parties by exploring and 6 7 addressing the strengths and weaknesses of their claims and defenses. From the perspective of the 8 governmental defendants, the discussions may shed light on potentially errant policies, decisions, 9 procedures or activities and possibly allow corrective action to be undertaken. And from the standpoint 10 of an inmate Plaintiff, he may be educated about the technical nuances of § 1983 litigation which may cause him to re-evaluate the propriety of his claims. In either event, it cannot be overlooked that an early 11 12 settlement of an inmate's case allows him to avoid immediately incurring the expense of the \$350.00 filing fee which would otherwise have to be paid to the court if the case were to proceed in the absence 13 of mediation. 14

Therefore, to further the interests of justice, this matter will proceed to the Inmate Early
Mediation Program in accordance with the court's screening order (ECF No. 5).¹

Plaintiff's First Motion to Exclude Case from Mediation (ECF No. 7) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DATED: May 23, 2017.

With G. Cobb

WILLIAM G. COBB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

 ¹ It is also a maxim of successful settlement conferences that a party not come to negotiations with a preconceived notion of a "bottom line" or a "top dollar" figure. In that regard, Plaintiff's identification of a demand number well in advance of the mediation (ECF No. 7 at 2) and prior to hearing Defendant's version of the facts is counterproductive.