1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
5	***	
6	MATHEW LEE WILLIAMS, Case No. 3:16-cv-00505-MMD-CBC	
7	Petitioner, ORDER DENYING	
8	v. POST-JUDGMENT MOTIONS (ECF NOS. 84, 85)	
9	RENEE BAKER, et al.,	
10	Respondents.	
11		
12	In this action, on June 24, 2019, the Court denied Mathew Lee Williams's amen	ded
13	petition for a writ of habeas corpus and denied Williams a certificate of appealability (ECF	
14	No. 81), and judgment was entered accordingly (ECF No. 82).	
15	On July 3, 2019, Williams filed a motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 85) and a	
16	motion for stay and abeyance (ECF No. 84). Respondents filed an opposition to the	
17	motion for reconsideration on July 8, 2019 (ECF No. 86), and Williams replied on July 17,	
18	2019 (ECF No. 87).	
19	Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b), a court may relieve a party from a	
20	final judgment if there is a showing of: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable	
21	neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence; (3) fraud; (4) a void judgment; (5) a satisfied or	
22	discharged judgment; or (6) any other reason justifying relief. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b).	
23	Williams does not make a showing warranting relief under Rule 60(b). That motion wil	l be
24	denied.	
25	The denial of the motion for reconsideration renders moot the motion for stay	and
26	abeyance. And, at any rate, the Court has examined the motion for stay and abeyance	
27	and determines that it is without merit.	
28	///	

It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 85) and Motion for Stay and Abeyance (ECF No. 84) are denied. DATED THIS 19th day of July 2019. MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE