Т	٠
c	١
ć	2
٧	3
r	٦
4	c
•	£
r	ď
(7
t	ī
7	7
١	,
•	
٠	•

DAVID COE	
Name 1200 Prison CD. LCC	
LIVELOCK NV. 89419	
20189	
Prison Number	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ES DISTRICT COURT T OF NEVADA
DAVID COE Plaintiff	
·) CASE NO
V5.	(To be supplied by the Clerk)
Connie Bisbee Et AL	
Susan Jackson Michael Reeler ED GERY) CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT) PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983
ADAM ENdel))
STATE OF NEVADA EXP)
Defendant(s).	,)
A. JU	RISDICTION
1) This complaint alleges that th	e civil rights of Plaintiff, David Cop. (Print Plaintiff's name)
who presently resides at 120	Prison RD. LOVELOCK, NV. 89419 Were
violated by the actions of the	below named individuals which were directed agains
Plaintiff at <u>Hibh Desert</u> (Institution/ci	State Prison on the following dates by where violation occurred)
8/20/14 + 9/24/14	8/2014+11/9/14 and N/A
(Count 1) 12/7/14	8/11/15 (Count II) and N/A (Count III)

Make a copy of this page to provide the below information if you are naming more than five (5) defendants

2) Defendant Const B. Shee resides at 1677 Oco Hor Spalms RD # A-104 Conson Co
(full name of first defendant) (address if first defendant) Nevella \$510. and is employed as Parole Commissional (dealers). This defendant is sued in his/her
and is employed as Parole Committee over (diatemin). This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any)
individual (defendant's position and title, if any) individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was
acting
under color of law: Connie 151513CE WAS/IS The Parale Commission
under color of law: Connie BISBER WAS/IS The Parale Commission / Chalanin AT My HEARING Who Ketalinks + Violates My DVE Focess

3) Defendant Susaw Tackson resides at 1677 old Hot Spatas po HA-104Carsoich (full name of first defendant) (address if first defendant) Nevada 89703 and is employed as 19101e (amm. 55.0002 . This defendant is sued in his/her
(full name of first defendant) (address if first defendant) Nevach 89703
and is employed as <u>Parole (omm)55, one2</u> . This defendant is sued in his/her
individual (defendant's position and title, if any) individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was
individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was
acting
$AA \rightarrow A $
under color of law: MS JACKSON WAS 195 A totale Commissioner on My
under color of law: MS. TACKSON WAS 195 A Parole Commissioner on My HEADING Who Wolk tes My Die process & Refaliation
4) Defendant Mehrec Kellen resides at 1677 oil Hof spains and 1577 oil Hof spa
(full name of first defendant) (address if first defendant) Nevaux 37703
and is employed as <u>Vacole, Commission en</u> . This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any)individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was
acting
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
under color of law: Mr. keller WAS 195 A larde Commissioner AT My HEARING Who Refait when & Wolntin My averaces.
per tra fiction who person will the street.
5) Defendant ED GRAY resides at 1677 old Hot Springs RO FA-104 Carmacy (full name of first defendant) (address if first defendant) Neurola 89703 and is employed as large Community Strenger. This defendant is sued in his/her
(full name of first defendant) (address if filet defendant) A. A
and is applicated as 100 (Ma (creed) 556 ms PA This defendant is used in high a
and is employed as <u>PEW OIF CONVITY OF THE STEER IN THIS detendant is such in his/her</u> (defendant's position and title, if any)
(defendant's position and title, if any) Individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was
acting
under color of law MC Great Like (s. A. Dacida Caradiaca) m. MU
under color of law: MR GRAY WAST'S A Parale Commission on MY HEARING INDO VERTERIATED & WILDER MY DUD WECESS DISHER

6) Defendant Adm Endel resides at 1677 out Hot Springs Pd. 41-104 Carson Ci
and is employed as forde Commissioner. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any)
individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was
acting
and and the desired and
under color of law: MR. ENDEL Followed the often Commissioners Red Hoden, vidated my Due process and Disort allow me a defease to wrong
Internation.
INTERMATION
7) Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1343 (a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you wish to assert jurisdiction under different or additional statutes, list them below.
B. NATURE OF THE CASE 1) Briefly state the background of your case.
After a one year revocation Hearing that put plaintiff built in prison
he west to a Parde fores Hearing, at this Hearing the Plaintits Du Aoces
Alghas were violated by the Dotandants shown on Order of denial.
Pland of was given a 3 year derial and when he received the
denial notice tound that there was innaccurate information
used by defendants in reaching their decision which he
west't informed of at large Harrier or allowed is
detend, Plaintiff notified Doferdants of speaks, acrest
ins decision only to be denied again and have scroks
Restated as being true, Phintiff Bent prison response
in formal grivance and speaks and reguests to fix
errors with no response from Detaidants.

C. CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNTI

The following civil rights has been violated: My rights to Due Process
15 62 95, 10th AND/OR 14th
Supporting Facts: [Include all fact you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].
boly During A Parole Hearing Parole Boxes Commissioners ED GRAY,
Connie Bishee (Chairman) & Michael Keeler denied Pande
using promeous information that!
1) No one knew about, 2) Did not sporaise the plaintiff,
3) Did not allow Plaintill to defent, 4) that wasit on the
Parole report that he signed 5) that was erroneus !
wrong - " not Him" 6) That allowed Defendants to use
retaliation,
when Plaintiff received Denial of Parale he saw errors
and Immediately tried to Arreal and show Defendance
Their error, He contacted the FBT od Drison grievauce
proceeding and sent proof he wasn't in Prison before as they
assent, Arreal to Brand: 9/24/14.11/14,12/7/14 & 3/11/15,
The denial of Parde was usheld and ratified be
Commissioners; ED bray, Michael Keeler, Connie
Bisbee (chairman) and Susan Jackson, and
Signed he Adam Endel on 8/20/14
Revocation was on a technicality and Plaintiff had no new chance
a I waldest have born left to orrow hat for Dale I ale to I steen
was municipal true want in in place my vi bekarains violation,

COUNT II

The following civil rights has be	en violated: Nu 15	smwoodment.	Right
The following civil rights has be to be free from	Rotaliation		

Supporting Facts: [Include all fact you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].

At a larde Hearing on 8/20/14, Defendants; ED 6 ray, Commit 612 bee, Muchael Keeler Retalished against Plaintit, Cousing him have and Mejudice and grow him a 3 year parte denial, This decision was uphed by commissioner; Michael Ruker, Ed bray, Commie Bisher and Susan Jackson and Signed by Adam Endel, This decision was made using wrong/made as Crimes and convictions and strending hear hosed on accurate informations and strending hear hosed on accurate information that the sainst him wings wrong information. Maintiff was the alliance of anish from a special for the for According to Maintiff informed bande Commissioners, in letter for According on 9/24/14, of strors and was told he was in Prison in 1977-by Commissioners ED Gray on letter of strors were not auswerf, and 1/2/15 a brevious Report clearly say no Priva prison claim and was if also requested ortain of said priva prison claim and was if auswerps. Dellar 12/2/14 Despite Plaintiffs lookinged afternits to clarify and correct wrong facts being used regainst him hes been ignored.

	COUNT	M į	. (,
ollowing civil rights has	been violated:	ρ	1/1
	·		
Connection Tests II-	aluda all fact unu cons	ldes laurenter t	State the Costs also to
in your own words, a	clude all fact you consind without citing legal	authority or arg	ument. Be sure you
describe exactly what	t each specific defenda	nt (by name) did	to violate your rights]
	<u> </u>		
····		·	
	·· ··· · · ·		
	•		<i></i>
	, , ,		
	<i>N</i>		
		-A	
		1	
		1	
	/		
		<u>.</u>	<u> </u>
	<u> </u>		
		· <u>-</u>	
/		<u> </u>	
			•

Have you filed other actions in state or federal courts involving the same or similar facts as involved in this action? Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If more than one, describe the others on an additional page following the below

outli	ne).
a)	Defendants:
b)	Name of court and docket number:
c)	Disposition (for example, was the case dismissed, appealed or is it still pending
d)	Issues raised:
e)	Approximate date it was filed:
f)	Approximate date of disposition:
	Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more the
three	Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more th
three follo Law	Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional particle the below outline.) Suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:
three folio Law a)	Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional particle below outline.) suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number: The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous.
three folio Law a) b)	suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: N/A Defendants:
three follo Law a) b)	Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional particle below outline.) suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number: The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be grante
three follo Law a) b) c)	Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional particle below outline.) suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number: The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be grante Issues raised:
three follo Law a) b) c) d)	No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional parawing the below outline.) suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number: The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be grante. Issues raised: Approximate date it was filed: Approximate date of disposition:
three follo Law a) b) c) d)	YesNo. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you had more the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional proving the below outline.) suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number: The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous_malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted issues raised:

. .

	c)	The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous				
	d)	malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. Issues raised:				
	e)	Approximate date it was filed:				
	f)	Approximate date of disposition:				
	Law	suit #3 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: N/A				
	a)	Defendants:				
	b)	Name of court and case number:				
	c)	The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.				
	d)	Issues raised:				
	e)	Approximate date it was filed:				
	Ŋ	Approximate date of disposition:				
3)	prop proc relie stat boar	e you attempted to resolve the dispute stated in this action by seeking relief from the per administrative officials, e.g., have you exhausted available administrative grievance redures? YesNo. If your answer is "No", did you not attempt administrative of because the dispute involved the validity of a: (1) disciplinary hearing; (2) e or federal court decision; (3) state or federal law or regulation; (4) parole and decision; or (5) other				
	If you	If your answer is "Yes", provide the following information. Grievance Number <u>See</u> X. Date and institution where grievance was filed <u>100/15 HOSP</u> X 20062994387				
	Ind	ponse to grievance: Response - Just Denien - 2/5/15- eccurate Information wasn't provided to large leten hy prison ok board Report and PSI does not reflect prior prison term,				

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

I believe that I am entitled to the following relief:		
1) Immentate Release - Continue on Parale		
(E) Erroneous Information Deletes from	Parole	
Commissioner's Files		
	Mandollas	
(4) Any other Fellet that the Carry Deem	en	
proper to AM to the name.		
I understand that a false statement or answer to any question in this subject me to penalties of perjury. I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY CUNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. See 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and 18	OF PERJURY THAT THE	
(Name of Person who prepared or helped prepare this complaint if not Plaintiff) 91816 (Date	Plaintiff) PAJID COE ZO184	
(Additional space if needed; identify what is being continued)		