

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

DEREK KURT HEGGSTROM,

Plaintiff,

v.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL,
Acting Commissioner of
Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00720-MMD-WGC

ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
WILLIAM G. COBB

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb's Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (ECF No. 19). Plaintiff had until October 18, 2017 to object (ECF No. 19). To date, no objection has been filed.

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no

1 objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D.
2 Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the view that
3 district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection").
4 Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may
5 accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226
6 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection
7 was filed).

8 Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review in order
9 to determine whether to adopt the R&R. Upon review of the R&R and the records in this
10 case, the Court finds good cause to adopt the R&R in full.

11 It is hereby ordered that the R&R (ECF No. 18) is accepted and adopted.

12 It is further ordered that the case is dismissed without prejudice.

13 The Clerk is directed to close this case.

14 DATED THIS 20th day of October 2017.

15
16
17 
18 _____
19 MIRANDA M. DU
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28