

1  
2  
3  
4

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 KEVIN ALMY,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 JAMES DZURENDI et al.,  
11 Defendants.  
12

3:17-cv-00045-MMD-WGC

## ORDER

## 13 || I. DISCUSSION

14 On January 24, 2017, Plaintiff filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for  
15 prisoners and submitted a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1, 1-  
16 1). In September 2017, Plaintiff was released from prison. (See ECF No. 4). Plaintiff now  
17 asserts that he is no longer subject to the filing fee or screening process because he is no  
18 longer incarcerated. (*Id.* at 1). Plaintiff seeks to proceed with pre-trial discovery. (*Id.*)

19 The Court acknowledges that Plaintiff is no longer subject to the screening  
20 requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1915A because Plaintiff is no longer a “prisoner” within the  
21 meaning of the statute. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), (c). However, Plaintiff is subject to the  
22 screening requirement under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii). Under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii),  
23 “the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that . . . the action or  
24 appeal (i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted;  
25 or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief.” This  
26 provision applies to all actions filed *in forma pauperis*, whether or not the plaintiff is  
27 incarcerated. See *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000); see also *Calhoun v.*  
28 *Stahl*, 254 F.3d 845 (9th Cir. 2001) (per curiam).

1 As such, even though Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated, the Court must screen  
2 Plaintiff's complaint under § 1915(e)(2) because Plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*  
3 in this action. Plaintiff may remove himself from the screening process in this case if he pays  
4 the \$400 filing fee for initiating this civil action.

## 5 || II. CONCLUSION

6 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that, if Plaintiff seeks to remove this case  
7 from screening, he shall pay the full \$400 fee for filing a civil action (which includes the \$350  
8 filing fee and the \$50 administrative fee).

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Plaintiff chooses not to pay the \$400 filing fee, the  
10 Court will screen Plaintiff's civil rights complaint in due course. Due to the Court's caseload,  
11 the screening process may take several months.

13 DATED: This 2nd day of October, 2017.

Walter G. Cobb

## United States Magistrate Judge