Turner v.	Baker	et a	
-----------	-------	------	--

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
8	* * *			
9	JEREMY TURNER, Case No. 3:17-cv-00139-MMD-WGC			
10	Petitioner, ORDER			
11	V.			
12	RENEE BAKER, <i>et al.</i> ,			
13	Respondents.			
14				
15	In this habeas corpus action, on August 20, 2018, the Court ruled on the			
16	Respondents' motion to dismiss, dismissed certain of the Petitioner's claims, and ordered			
17	the Respondents to file an answer, responding to the Petitioner's remaining claims, within			
18	90 days. (See Order entered August 20, 2018 (ECF No. 34).)			
19	On November 16, 2018, Respondents filed a motion for an extension of time (ECF			
20	No. 35), requesting a 45-day extension of time, to January 3, 2019, for the answer. This			
21	would be the first extension of this deadline. Respondents' counsel states that the			
22	extension of time is necessary because of her obligations in other cases. The Court finds			
23	that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for			
24	the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.			
25	It is therefore ordered that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No.			
26	35) is granted. Respondents will have until January 3, 2019, to file their answer.			
27	It is further ordered that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings			
28	set forth in the order entered April 4, 2017 (ECF No. #5), will remain in effect; under that			

1	order, the Petitioner will have 60 days, after the Respondents' file their answer, to file a
2	reply to the answer.
3	DATED THIS 20 th day of November 2018.
4	10
5	
6	MIRANDA M. DU, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2