

1 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no
2 objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D.
3 Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit’s decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the view that
4 district courts are not required to review “any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”).
5 Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge’s recommendation, then the court may
6 accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226
7 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge’s recommendation to which no objection
8 was filed).


9 Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to
10 determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cooke’s R&R. Upon reviewing the R&R and
11 filings in this case, this Court finds good cause to accept and adopt the Magistrate Judge’s
12 R&R in full.

13 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and Recommendation
14 of Magistrate Judge Valerie P. Cooke (ECF No. 8) is accepted and adopted in its entirety.

15 It is further ordered that Plaintiff’s amended complaint (ECF No. 7) is dismissed
16 without prejudice, without leave to amend.

17 It is further ordered that the Clerk enter judgment and close this case.

18 DATED THIS 31st day of August 2017.

19
20
21 
22 _____
23 MIRANDA M. DU
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
26
27
28