1 Joel E. Tasca Nevada Bar No. 14124 2 Lindsay Demaree Nevada Bar No. 11949 3 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 471-7000 5 Facsimile: (702) 471-7070 tasca@ballardspahr.com 6 demareel@ballardspahr.com 7 Attorneys for PNC Bank, N.A. 8



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

VALERIE MARGARET MARINO, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

vs.

PNC BANK, N.A.

Defendant.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00179-MMD-VPC

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR PNC BANK TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Valerie Margaret Marino ("Plaintiff" or "Marino") and Defendant PNC Bank, NA ("PNC") (together, the "Parties") stipulate and agree to extend the time for PNC to respond to Plaintiff's amended complaint until the later of (i) August 3, 2018, or (ii) 10 calendar days after the Ninth Circuit issues its mandate in the pending appeal of Vanamann v. NationStar Mortgage, LLC, Appeal No. 17-15737 ("Vanamann"). PNC's response is currently due July 13, 2018, and this is the Parties' first request to extend this deadline. As set forth below, good cause supports this extension:

- The Court Stayed This Case Pending the Ninth Circuit's Ruling in Vanamann I.
 - On January 30, 2018, the Court granted the Parties' request to stay this 1.

1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900 ្តុំ 13 AS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135 BALLARD SPAHR LLP

हैं 14 र § 15 § 16 17

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

27

12 1300 FAX (702) 471-7030 141-7030 151-7030 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135 (702) 471 16 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

case pending the Ninth Circuit's ruling in Vanamann. See Minute Order, ECF No. 39.

- As explained in the Parties' joint motion (EFC No. 36), the Vanamann 2. appeal raises legal issues that would significantly affect Plaintiff's claims in this case. Moreover, the appellant in Vanamann is represented by the undersigned Plaintiff's counsel.
- On May 18, 2018, the Ninth Circuit issued its unpublished ruling 3. affirming summary judgment in favor of the defendant in Vanamann.
- Pursuant to the Court's minute order (see ECF No. 42), Plaintiff filed an 4. amended complaint on June 29, 2018. See Am. Compl., ECF No. 44. The current deadline for PNC to respond to Plaintiff's amended complaint is July 13, 2018.

The Vanamann Appeal Remains Subject to Change by the Ninth Circuit II.

- Also on June 29, 2018, Ms. Vanamann (represented by Plaintiff's counsel 5. here) filed a petition for rehearing. See Vanamann, Appeal No. 17-15737. This petition requests that the Ninth Circuit reconsider its prior ruling.
- The Ninth Circuit has yet to rule on Ms. Vanamann's petition for 6. rehearing or issue a mandate in the Vanamann appeal.
- The Vanamann appeal thus remains subject to reconsideration by the 7. Ninth Circuit.

The Parties Agree to Extend Time for PNC's Response to Plaintiff's Complaint III.

- To ensure efficient use of the Parties' and the Court's resources, the 8. Parties seek to wait until the Ninth Circuit's Vanamann ruling is final. As noted above, the Vanamann ruling may affect this case given the similarity between Plaintiff's claim for a willful FCRA violation and the willful FCRA violation at issue in Vanamann.
- 26
- 27

BALLARD SPAHR LLP