| Warren v. Nev.                       | Dept. of Corr. et al  |  |            |                       | Doc. 100 |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------|-----------------------|----------|
|                                      | Case 3:17-cv-00228-MMD-WGC Docume   | ent 100 Filed                                  | 1 07/31/20 | Page 1 of 3           |          |
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | AARON D. FORD Attorney General PETER E. DUNKLEY, Bar No. 11110 Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1259 E-mail: pdunkley@ag.nv.gov  Attorneys for Defendants Quentin Byrne, Sheryl Foster, Joshua Kelly, Stephen Powers, Ruben Vidaurri | 'S DISTRICT                                    | COURT      |                       |          |
| 10                                   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT OF NEVADA  |  |            |                       |          |
| 11                                   | KEITH A. WARREN,  |  |            |                       |          |
| 12                                   | Plaintiff,  | Case N   |            | -00228-MMD-WGC        |          |
| 13                                   | VS.   | ORDER GRANTING<br>MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME |            |                       | E        |
| 14                                   | NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF  | TO R   |            | TO DISCOVERY<br>UESTS |          |
| 15                                   | CORRECTIONS, et al.,  |  |            |                       |          |
| 16                                   | Defendants.   |  |            |                       |          |
| 17                                   | Defendants, Quentin Byrne, Sheryl Foster, Joshua Kelly, Stephen Powers, Ruben Vidaurri, by  |  |            |                       |          |
| 18                                   | and through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney General, and Peter E. Dunkley, hereby submit  |  |            |                       |          |
| 19                                   | this Motion for Extension of Time to Serve Discovery Responses to Plaintiff's Discovery Requests,   |  |            |                       |          |
| 20                                   | Dated June 30, 2020, and received by the Office of the Attorney General on July 6, 2020. This Motion  |  |            |                       |          |
| 21                                   | is based on Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), the following Memorandum of Points and  |  |            |                       |          |
| 22                                   | Authorities, and all papers and pleadings on file in this action.   |  |            |                       |          |
| 23                                   | MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES  |  |            |                       |          |
| 24                                   | I. INTRODUCTION   |  |            |                       |          |
| 25                                   | Defendants request additional time to respond to outstanding Requests for Admissions and  |  |            |                       |          |
| 26                                   | Requests for Production of documents, without waiving objections, because of the administrative   |  |            |                       |          |
| 27                                   | obstacles at the Office of the Attorney General (OAG), related to the response to COVID-19.   |  |            |                       |          |
| 20                                   |   |  |            |                       |          |

## Case 3:17-cv-00228-MMD-WGC Document 100 Filed 07/31/20 Page 2 of 3

State-wide, and OAG wide policies and technological limitations have affected the effectiveness of communications and the ability to efficiently compile documents and evidence, from outside the office.

Defendants respectfully request an extension of time from the current deadline of July 30 2020<sup>1</sup>, to respond to outstanding discovery, on or before August 31, 2020.

## II. ARGUMENT

Defendants respectfully requests a 32 day extension of time out from the current deadline of July 30, 2020, to serve responses to Plaintiff's Request for Admissions and Requests for Production of Documents. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) governs extensions of time and provides as follows:

When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

"The district court is given broad discretion in supervising the pretrial phase of litigation...." *Zivkovic v. S. California Edison Co.*, 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002) (citation omitted).

Defendants assert that the requisite good cause is present to warrant the requested extension of time. This request has been necessitated by ongoing quarantine measures imposed in response to the COVID-19 virus pandemic. Defendants make the instant request in light of the current issues related to COVID-19 including Nevada Governor Sisolak's March 31, 2020 Declaration of Emergency (Directive 010) – 'Stay at Home Order' and the Governor's April 1, 2020 "Stay at Home" directive. In response, the Office of the Attorney General (OAG) has directed all AOG employees to comply with the Governor's directives and orders. The OAG is limiting (and in some instances, restricting completely) in-office work, and instructing employees to stay at home and to work from home to the extent possible. The OAG, and the State Nevada information technology staff have been working to fully implement alternate, home-based working arrangements. As a result, the already limited staff at the OAG is rendered less efficient due to constraints imposed by limited Virtual Private Networks (VPN) and lack of remote document access. In light of Governor's directives, the OAG's policies, the information technologies limitations, and due to the functional difficulties the instant circumstances place on accessing and obtaining the necessary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The discovery is dated June 30, 2020. However, the discovery was mailed July 1, 2020 and received by the OAG on July 6, 2020.

## Case 3:17-cv-00228-MMD-WGC Document 100 Filed 07/31/20 Page 3 of 3

supporting documents, including correspondence between the OAG and Defendants, Defendants 1 2 respectfully request that the Court extend the time to respond to the discovery until August 31, 2020. 3 Defendants' request is timely and will not hinder or prejudice Plaintiff's case, but will allow for the OAG to have sufficient time to obtain documents and communicate with defendants in order to 4 5 respond. For these reasons, Defendant respectfully requests a thirty-two (32) day extension of time from 6 7 the current deadline to serve responses to the request for admissions and requests for production of 8 documents in this case, with a new deadline up to and including Monday, August 31, 2020. 9 DATED this 30th day of July 2020. 10 AARON D. FORD Attorney General 11 By: /s/ Peter E. Dunkley 12 PETER E. DUNKLEY, Bar No. 11110 Deputy Attorney General 13 Attorneys for Defendants 14 IT IS SO ORDERED: 15 16 William G. Cobb UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 17 DATED: July 31, 2020 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28