

1 *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard
2 of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to
3 which no objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219,
4 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit’s decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the
5 view that district courts are not required to review “any issue that is not the subject of an
6 objection.”). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge’s recommendation, then
7 the court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F.
8 Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge’s recommendation to
9 which no objection was filed).

10 Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to
11 determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb’s Recommendation. Upon reviewing
12 the R&R and pro se Complaint, this Court finds good cause to adopt the Magistrate
13 Judge’s Recommendation in full.

14 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and
15 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 7) is accepted and
16 adopted in its entirety.

17 It is further ordered that Plaintiff’s IFP application (ECF No. 1) is granted.

18 It is further ordered that the Clerk file the Complaint (ECF No. 1-1).

19 It is further ordered that Plaintiff may proceed with his Fourth Amendment claim
20 against Osterman in the first cause of action.

21 It is further ordered that the second cause of action is dismissed with prejudice;

22 It is further ordered that the third cause of action is dismissed without prejudice.

23 It is further ordered that the fourth cause of action is dismissed with prejudice.

24 It is further ordered that the Clerk to issue a summons for defendant Osterman
25 and send Plaintiff copies of the Complaint and service of process form USM-285. Plaintiff
26 will then have twenty (20) days to complete the USM-285 form and return it to the U.S.
27 Marshal to complete service. Within twenty (20) days of receiving from the U.S. Marshal
28 a copy of the USM-285 form showing whether service has been accomplished, Plaintiff

1 must file a notice with the Court indicating whether the defendant was served. If service
2 was not effectuated, and if Plaintiff wishes to have service again attempted, he must file
3 a motion with the Court specifying the unserved defendant and providing a more detailed
4 name or address for that defendant, or indicating that some other manner of service
5 should be attempted. Plaintiff is reminded that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
6 Procedure 4(m), service must be accomplished within ninety (90) days of the date of the
7 filing of the Complaint. Should Plaintiff require an extension of time to complete service,
8 he must file a motion seeking an extension supported by good cause before the ninety
9 (90) days has expired.

10 DATED THIS 21st day of July 2017.

11
12 
13 _____
14 MIRANDA M. DU
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28