1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	TRACY WEBB,	Case No. 3:17-cv-00254-MMD-WGC
10	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
11	RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT, <i>et al.</i> ,	OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE WILLIAM G. COBB
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate	
15	Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 5) ("R&R") relating to plaintiff's application to proceed	
16	in forma pauperis (ECF Nos. 1, 1-1.) Plaintiff had until August 16, 2017, to file an	
17	objection. To date, no objection to the R&R has been filed ¹ .	
18	This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or	
19	recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party	
20	timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is	
21	required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and	
22	recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party	
23	fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all of any	
24	issue that is not the subject of an objection." <i>Thomas v. Arn</i> , 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).	
25	Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a	
26	magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed.	
27		
28	¹ Plaintiff has apparently failed to file a notice of change to her address pursuant to LR IA 3-1 because orders from this Court have been returned as undeliverable. (ECF Nos. 4, 6.)	

Dockets.Justia.com

1 See United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the 2 standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and 3 recommendation to which no objections were mad2e); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in 4 5 *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any 6 issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a 7 magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may accept the recommendation 8 without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without 9 review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to
determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb's R&R. Upon reviewing the R&R
and proposed complaint, this Court finds good cause to accept and adopt the
Magistrate Judge's R&R in full.

14 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and
15 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 5) is accepted and
16 adopted in its entirety.

17 It is ordered that plaintiff's application to proceed *in form pauperis* (ECF No. 1) is
18 denied without prejudice.

It is further ordered that the complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

20 The Clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this Order and closed21 this case.

DATED THIS 31st day of August 2017.

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2