

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

MARTY SCOTT FITZGERALD,

Plaintiff,

v.

LPN GREG MARTIN, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-CV-0278-MMD-CLB

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR MORE COMPLETE STATEMENT BY
PROVIDING BATES STAMPS USED IN
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

[ECF No. 129]

10 Before the Court is Plaintiff Marty Scott Fitzgerald's ("Fitzgerald") motion for more
11 complete statement by providing Bates stamps used in motion for summary judgment.
12 (ECF No. 129.) In this motion Fitzgerald states that he is having trouble following
13 Defendants' motion for summary judgment because Defendants do not identify the Bates
14 numbers of their exhibits. (*Id.*) Apparently, Fitzgerald seeks Defendants to refile the
15 motion to comport with his request.

16 The Court has reviewed the motion for summary judgment and its attachments.
17 (ECF Nos. 103, 105.) Although 122 pages of exhibits and declarations are attached, they
18 are separated into 14 separate exhibits (91 pages) and 11 declarations (31 pages). (*Id.*)
19 None of the exhibits are individually voluminous, and Defendants included an index of
20 exhibits in their motion. (ECF No. 103 at 12.) The Court finds the references to exhibit
21 numbers and corresponding dates are adequate and proper. The motion for more
22 complete statement by providing Bates stamps used for motion for summary judgment is
23 **DENIED.** (ECF No. 129.)

24 **DATED:** April 15, 2022.

25
26
27
28


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE