

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a national
banking association,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a
Nevada limited-liability company; THE
FOOTHILLS AT WINGFIELD
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, a Nevada
non-profit corporation; FULLER JENKINS
CLARKSON, a Nevada professional
corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00332-LRH-WGC

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND TIME TO REPLY TO
DEFENDANT THE FOOTHILLS AT
WINGFIELD HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION'S RESPONSE TO
WELLS FARGO'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

(SECOND REQUEST)

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company,

Counter-Claimant,

vs.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a national
banking association; MORTGAGE
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,
INC., its successors and assigns, as Nominee
Beneficiary for DHI MORTGAGE
COMPANY, a Texas limited partnership;
BRIAN MCKAY, an individual; LAWRENCE
D. MCKAY, an individual,

Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

1 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (“Wells Fargo”), and Defendant The Foothills at Wingfield
2 Homeowners Association (the “HOA”), by and through their respective counsel (collectively the
3 “Parties”), for good cause shown, hereby stipulate and agree to an extension for Wells Fargo to
4 submit its Reply to the HOA’s Response to Wells Fargo’s Motion for Summary Judgment. Wells
5 Fargo filed its Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 44] on May 2, 2018. The HOA filed its
6 Response to Wells Fargo’s Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 51] on Sunday, May 6,
7 2018. The Parties subsequently stipulated, and the Court ordered, to extend Wells Fargo’s Reply
8 deadline to June 4, 2018 [ECF No. 56]. The Parties request the Court extend Wells Fargo’s
9 deadline by an additional week, to June 11, 2018. This is the Parties’ second extension request.

10 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 6-1, there exists good
11 cause to grant this extension to respond for the following reasons:

12 1. This extension is sought both because Wells Fargo and its counsel require
13 additional time to meaningfully prepare the Reply to the HOA’s Response to Wells Fargo’s
14 Motion for Summary Judgment and to address the numerous arguments raised therein.

15 2. On June 1, 2018, Wells Fargo and the HOA agreed to the extension requested
16 herein.

17 3. This stipulated extension request is sought in good faith and is not made for the
18 purpose of delay.

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

