1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	DISTRICT COURT DF NEVADA Case No. 3:17-cv-00332-LRH-WGC STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF WELLS FARGO'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND SFR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (FIRST REQUEST)

1	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), and Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC		
2	("SFR"), by and through their respective counsel (collectively the "Parties"), for good cause		
3	shown, hereby stipulate and agree to an extension for SFR to submit its Reply in support of		
4	Motion for Summary Judgment, and for Wells Fargo to submit its Reply in support of Motion for		
5	Summary Judgment. Wells Fargo and SFR each filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on May 2,		
6	2018 [ECF Nos. 44 and 48]. The Parties each filed a response to Motion for Summary Judgment		
7	on June 13, 2018 [ECF Nos. 68 and 69]. The Parties' deadline to file replies in support of their		
8	respective Motions for Summary Judgment is June 27, 2018. The Parties request the Court		
9	extend the Parties' Reply deadline to July 18, 2018. This is the Parties' first extension request.		
10	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 6-1, there exists good		
11	cause to grant this extension to respond for the following reasons:		
12	1. This extension is sought both because the Parties and their counsel require		
13	additional time to meaningfully prepare and respond to the competing motions for summary		
14	judgment and to address the numerous arguments raised therein.		
15	2. On June 26, 2018, Wells Fargo and SFR agreed to the extension requested herein		
16	to keep the briefing schedule on their simultaneous motions for summary judgment the same as		
17	between the two Parties.		
18	3. This stipulated extension request is sought in good faith and is not made for the		
19	purpose of delay.		
20	111		
21	111		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	- 2 -		
l			

1	Therefore, Wells Fargo and SFR jointly agree for an extension to July 18, 2018, for SFR		
2	to file its Reply in support of Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 48] and for Wells Fargo		
3	to file its Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 44].		
4			
5	Dated: June 26, 2018	Dated: June 26, 2018	
6	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.	KIM GILBERT EBRON	
7			
8	By: <u>/s/ Michael Paretti</u> Kelly H. Dove, Esq.	By: <u>/s/ Diana S. Ebron</u> Diana S. Ebron, Esq.	
9	Nevada Bar No. 10569	Nevada Bar No. 10580	
10	Michael Paretti, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13926	Jacqueline A. Gilbert, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10593	
11	3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169	Karen L. Hanks, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9578	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139	
13	<i>л</i> у.д.	Attorneys for Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC	
14		1 001 1, ELC	
15	ORDER		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	DATED this 27th day of June, 2018.	Allaha.	
18		LARRY R. HICKS	
19		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- :	3 -	