Kuzmicki v. Neidert et al

Doc. 4

recommendation to which no objections were mad2e); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb's R&R. Upon reviewing the R&R and proposed complaint, this Court finds good cause to accept and adopt the Magistrate Judge's R&R in full.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 3) is accepted and adopted in its entirety.

It is ordered that plaintiff's application to proceed *in form pauperis* (ECF No. 1) is granted; plaintiff will not be required to pay an initial fee.

It is further ordered that the Clerk detach and file the complaint (ECF No. 1-1).

It is further ordered that the complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

The Clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this Order and closed this case.

DATED THIS 26th day of July 2017.

MIRANDA M. DU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE