| 1 | | |
|----|--|--------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 8 | * * * | |
| 9 | EVARISTO JONATHAN GARCIA, | Case No. 3:17-cv-00378-MMD-WGC |
| 10 | Petitioner, | ORDER |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | STATE OF NEVADA, <i>et al.</i> , | |
| 13 | Respondents. | |
| 14 | This action is a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 | |
| 15 | U.S.C. § 2254 by a Nevada state prisoner. Neither a filing fee nor an application to | |
| 16 | proceed in forma pauperis was submitted with the petition. When filing a habeas action, | |
| 17 | petitioner must either submit the \$5.00 filing fee for habeas petition or an application to | |
| 18 | proceed in forma pauperis. Due to the lack of an in forma pauperis application or filing | |
| 19 | fee, the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in | |
| 20 | a new action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does not appear | |
| 21 | from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly | |
| 22 | filed new petition being untimely. In this regard, petitioner at all times remains responsible | |
| 23 | for calculating the running of the limitations period as applied to his case and properly | |
| 24 | commencing a timely filed habeas corpus action. It | |
| 25 | therefore is ordered that this action is dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new | |
| 26 | petition in a new action. | |
| 27 | It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court send petitioner the following: two (2) | |
| 28 | copies of an in forma pauperis application form for a prisoner and one (1) copy of the | |
| | | |

| 1 | instructions for the same, two (2) copies of a blank 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition form | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | and one (1) copy of instructions for the same; and a copy of the petition (labeled "notice | |
| 3 | of appeal" at ECF No. 1-1). | |
| 4 | It is further ordered that petitioner may file a new petition in a new action, but may | |
| 5 | not file further documents in this action. | |
| 6 | It is further ordered that a certificate of appealability is denied. Reasonable jurists | |
| 7 | would not find the dismissal of the improperly commenced action without prejudice to be | |
| 8 | debatable or wrong. | |
| 9 | It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment accordingly. | |
| 10 | DATED THIS 19 th day of June 2017. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | All - | |
| 13 | MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |
| | 2 | |