| nelas <sub>,</sub> | las <sub>i</sub> v. Baker et al   | Doc  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
|                    | Case 3:17-cv-00461-MMD-CLB Document 56 Filed 05/19/   | 20 Page 1 of 2   |  |
|                    |   |  |  |
| 1                  | 1   |  |  |
| 2                  | 2   |  |  |
| 3                  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |  |
| 4                  | DISTRICT OF NEVADA  |  |  |
| 5                  | 5 LUIS CARDENAS-ORNELAS, Case No. 3:  | 17-cv-00461-MMD-CLB  |  |
| 6                  | r outionor,   | ORDER  |  |
| 7                  |   |  |  |
| 8                  |   |  |  |
| 9                  | 9 Respondents.  |  |  |
| 10                 | This counseled habeas matter is before the Court on Petitioner Luis Cardenas-                 |  |  |
| 11                 | Ornelas' Notice of Abandonment of Ground 2 (ECF No. 54) and Motion to Dismiss                 |  |  |
| 12                 | Ground 2 (ECF No. 55).  |  |  |
| 13                 | On January 31, 2020, the Court found the petition in this action to be mixed,                 |  |  |
| 14                 | containing both exhausted and unexhausted claims. (ECF No. 53.) The Court informed            |  |  |
| 15                 | Cardenas-Ornelas of his three options: (1) file a motion to dismiss seeking partial dismissal |  |  |
| 16                 | of only the unexhausted claims; (2) file a motion to dismiss the entire petition without      |  |  |
| 17                 | prejudice in order to return to state court to exhaust the unexhausted claims; and/or (3)     |  |  |
| 18                 | file a motion for other appropriate relief, such as a motion for a stay and abeyance asking   |  |  |
| 19                 | this Court to hold his exhausted claims in abeyance while he returns to state court to        |  |  |
| 20                 | exhaust the unexhausted claims. (Id.)   |  |  |
| 21                 | Cardenas-Ornelas filed the notice and motion informing the Court of his intent to             |  |  |
| 22                 | dismiss his unexhausted Ground 2 and pursue his remaining claims. No opposition having        |  |  |
| 23                 | been filed by Respondents and the time for doing so having expired, Cardenas-Ornelas's        |  |  |
| 24                 | Motion to dismiss (ECF No. 55) is granted.  |  |  |
| 25                 | It is therefore ordered that Ground 2 is dismissed without prejudice as unexhausted           |  |  |
| 26                 | and by Cardenas-Ornelas's request.  |  |  |
| 27                 | 7 It is further ordered that Respondents will have 60 da                                      | It is further ordered that Respondents will have 60 days to answer the remaining |  |
| 28                 | claims of the petition in this case.  |  |  |
|                    |   |  |  |
|                    |   |  |  |

|          | Case 3:17-cv-00461-MMD-CLB Document 56 Filed 05/19/20 Page 2 of 2                  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 1        | It is further ordered that Cardenas-Ornelas will have 30 days following service of |  |  |
| 2        | the answer to file and serve a reply brief.  |  |  |
| 3        | All other instructions set forth in the Court's December 2017 Order (ECF No. 10)   |  |  |
| 4        | remain in effect.  |  |  |
| 5        | DATED THIS 19 <sup>th</sup> day of May 2020.                                       |  |  |
| 6        | 1 ch   |  |  |
| 7        |  |  |  |
| 8        | MIRANDA M. DU<br>CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE                                |  |  |
| 9        |  |  |  |
| 10       |  |  |  |
| 11       |  |  |  |
| 12       |  |  |  |
| 13       |  |  |  |
| 14       |  |  |  |
| 15       |  |  |  |
| 16       |  |  |  |
| 17       |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19 |  |  |  |
| 20       |  |  |  |
| 20       |  |  |  |
| 22       |  |  |  |
| 23       |  |  |  |
| 24       |  |  |  |
| 25       |  |  |  |
| 26       |  |  |  |
| 27       |  |  |  |
| 28       |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |
|          | 2  |  |  |