1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
5	* * *	
6	WILLIAM WORKMAN,	Case No. 3:17-cv-00508-MMD-CLB
7	Petitioner,	ORDER
8	v.	
9	ISIDRO BACA, <i>et al.</i> ,	
10		
11	Respondents.	
12	Before the Court are several motions in this pro se 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas	
13	corpus matter. In Petitioner William Workman's motion for a decision he complained that	
14	Respondents had not yet filed an answer to the petition; however, Respondents had	
15	sought an extension of time to file an answer. In what Workman styled as a motion for	
16	relief (ECF No. 50), he basically asks the Court for clarification regarding the status of his	
17	petition. The petition is briefed and will be adjudicated on the merits in due course.	
18	It is therefore ordered that Respondents' first and second motions for extension of	
19	time to file a response to the petition (ECF Nos. 44, 46) are both granted nunc pro tunc.	
20	It is further ordered that Petitioner's motion for a decision and motion for relief (ECF	
21	Nos. 45, 50) are both denied as set forth in this order.	
22	DATED THIS 25 th day of February 2020.	
23	1 lo	
24	MIRANDA M. DU	
25	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
26		
27		
28		