Tagle v. State of N	levada et al I	Doc. 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	VICTOR TAGLE,) 3:17-cv-00510-MMD-WGC
9	Plaintiff,	REPORT & RECOMMENDATION
10	VS.	OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	This Report and Recommendation is made to the Honorable Miranda M. Du, United States	
15	District Judge. The action was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
16	§ 636(b)(1)(B) and the Local Rules of Practice, LR 1B 1-4.	
17	Before the court is Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP). (ECF No. 1.)	
18	Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> . He has submitted an IFP application, and a complaint	
19	which he labels a "tort action," and requests a jury pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1985, against the State of	
20	Nevada and Deputy Attorney Generals for, <i>inter alia</i> , conspiracy and corruption. (ECF No. 1-1.)	
21	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), "if [a] prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while	
22	incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that	
23	was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief	
24	may be granted," he may not proceed IFP and, instead, must pay the full filing fee in advance unless he	
25	is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).	
26		
27		
28		

The court has, on at least three prior occasions, dismissed civil actions commenced by plaintiff while incarcerated for maliciousness or failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See Tagle v. State of Nevada*, 2:15-cv-02083-RCJ-GWF (dismissed for failure to state a claim); *Tagle v. State of Nevada*, 2:15-cv-02358-MMD-PAL (dismissed for maliciousness and failure to state a claim); and *Tagle v. State of Nevada*, 2:16-cv-00852-JAD-VCF (dismissed for maliciousness and failure to state a claim). The court takes judicial notice of the records in these matters. Plaintiff has not plausibly alleged he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury; therefore, his application to proceed in forma pauperis should be denied, and he should be ordered pay the full filing fee within thirty days of the date of an order adopting this report and recommendation, or his action will be dismissed.

RECOMMENDATION

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that the District Judge enter an order <u>DENYING</u> Plaintiff's application to proceed IFP (ECF No. 1); directing Plaintiff to pay the full filing fee within thirty days of any order adopting this report and recommendation; and, advising Plaintiff that a failure to do so will result in dismissal of this action.

Plaintiff should be aware of the following:

- 1. That he may file, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of receipt. These objections should be titled "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation" and should be accompanied by points and authorities for consideration by the district judge.
- 2. That this Report and Recommendation is not an appealable order and that any notice of appeal pursuant to Rule 4(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure should not be filed until entry of judgment by the district court.

DATED: August 31, 2017.

William G. Cobb
WILLIAM G. COBB

WILLIAM G. COBB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE