

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 MARCO GUZMAN,

5 Petitioner,

6 v.

7 CALVIN JOHNSON, *et al.*,

8 Respondents.  
9

Case No. 3:17-cv-00515-HDM-CLB

**ORDER**

10 In this habeas corpus action, after a 120-day period following the resolution of  
11 the respondents' motion to dismiss, a 60-day extension of time, and a 45-day extension  
12 of time, Respondents were due to file an answer by October 7, 2022. See Order  
13 entered February 24, 2022 (ECF No. 74) (resolving motion to dismiss); Order entered  
14 June 27, 2022 (ECF No. 76) (60-day extension); Order entered August 24, 2022 (ECF  
15 No. 78) (45-day extension).

16 On October 7, 2022, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF  
17 No. 79), requesting a further 34-day extension of time, to November 10, 2022.  
18 Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of her  
19 obligations in other cases and because of illness. Respondents' counsel represents that  
20 Petitioner Marco Guzman, represented by appointed counsel, does not oppose the  
21 motion for extension of time.

22 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good  
23 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and there is good cause for the extension  
24 of time requested. The Court will grant the extension of time as requested. However, in  
25 view of the amount of time that Respondents will have had to file their answer—over  
26 eight months—the Court will not be inclined to further extend this deadline absent  
27 *extraordinary circumstances*  
28

