Perez v. Baker et al		C	oc. 55
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
1	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
2	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA	
3	NOE ORTEGA PEREZ,	Case No. 3:17-cv-00538-HDM-CLB	
4	Petitioner,		
5	ν.	ORDER	
6	BAKER, WARDEN, et al.,		
7	Respondents.		
8	The petitioner in this counseled habeas petition has filed a		a
9	pro se motion for relief pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil		L
10	Procedure 60(b) (ECF No. 53). Respondents move to strike the		э
11	pleading as improperly filed in violation of Local Rule IA 11-		-
12	6(a), which prohibits the filing of pro se documents where a party		?
13	is represented by counsel (ECF No. 54). The petitioner has not		:
14	responded to the motion to strike, and the time for doing so has		3
15	expired.		
16	Because the petitioner remains represented by counsel in this		3
17	matter and no motion to withdraw counsel has been filed, the		è
18	respondents' motion to strike (ECF No. 54) is hereby GRANTED. The		3
19	petitioner's motion for relief from judgment (ECF No. 53) is		3
20	therefore STRICKEN. The striking of the document is without		:
21	prejudice to the filing of a new motion either by counsel of record		

22 or by the petitioner pro se after a withdrawal of current counsel. 23

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

25

26

27

28

DATED: This 19th day of July, 2022.

Howard & MEKiller

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com