Morrow v. Williams et al Doc. 37

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TROY ANTHONY MORROW, Case No.: 3:17-cv-00580-MMD-CBC

Petitioner,

٧.

BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, SR., et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

Troy Anthony Morrow's pro se 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition for a writ of habeas corpus 13 is before the Court on motions by both parties.

First, Morrow filed a motion for a copy of Respondents' answer. (ECF No. 35.) The 15 certificate of service for the answer reflects that Respondents served Morrow by mail 16 within three calendar days of June 27, 2019. (ECF No. 34 at 21.) Morrow's motion stating 17 that he had not received the answer is dated July 8, 2019. (ECF No. 35 at 2.) It is likely 18 that Morrow did not allow sufficient time for the answer to reach him before filing his 19 motion, and it is likely that he has since received the mailed copy. Thus, the motion is 20 denied without prejudice. If Morrow has not been served with the answer by July 22, he should renew his motion.

Both parties also filed motions for extension of time. The Court finds good cause exists to grant these motions.

It is therefore ordered that Respondents' three motions for extension of time to file 24 an answer to the petition (ECF Nos. 31, 32, 33) are all granted nunc pro tunc.

It is further ordered that Petitioner's motion for copy of answer (ECF No. 35) is 27 denied without prejudice as set forth in this order.

21

22

24

26

It is further ordered that Petitioner's motion for extension of time to file a reply in support of his petition (ECF No. 36) is granted. Petitioner must file and serve his reply on or before September 3, 2019.

DATED THIS 16th day of July 2019.

MIRANDA M. DU U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE