

1 AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
2 HARRY B. WARD, Bar No. 11317
Deputy Attorney General
3 State of Nevada
Public Safety Division
4 100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
5 Tel: (775) 684-1159
E-mail: hward@ag.nv.gov

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 0 auto;"> <p>NOV 25 2019</p> </div>	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

6 *Attorneys for Defendants*
7 *Renee Baker, Ronald Bryant, Gloria Carpenter,*
8 *Jesse Cox, James Dzurenda, William*
9 *Gittere, Sheryl Foster, Paul Hunt, Tasheena*
Sandoval, Scott Sisco, David Tristan
and Harold Wickham

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

12 JOSEPH ANTONETTI,
13 Plaintiff,
14 v.
15 FILSON, et al.,
16 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00605-MMD-CLB
ORDER
**DEFENDANT RENEE BAKER'S
MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF
TIME TO ANSWER PLAINTIFF'S
INTERROGATORIES**
(First Request)

18 Defendants, by and through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Attorney General of the State
19 of Nevada, and Harry B. Ward, Deputy Attorney General, hereby moves this Honorable
20 Court for an enlargement of time to answer Plaintiff's Interrogatories.

21 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

22 I. RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY

23 This case is a *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 6)
24 Plaintiff, Joseph Antonetti (Anotnetti), is an inmate in the lawful custody of the Nevada
25 Department of Corrections (NDOC). (*Id.*) After Defendants answered Antonetti's
26 Complaint, (ECF No. 6), this Court issued its Scheduling Order, which, *inter alia*, set
27 forth the time permitted for discovery. (ECF No. 34 at 2:3-18.)

28 ///

1 On or about October 22, 2019, the Office of the Attorney General (OAG) received
2 Antonetti's Interrogatories directed to Defendant Renee Baker (Baker). Baker has
3 provided her counsel with answers to several interrogatories and anticipated she would
4 be able to comply with the deadline to answer the interrogatories. After counsel received
5 the draft answers from Baker, counsel requested additional information from Baker so
6 that the answers could be more comprehensive. However, many of the interrogatories
7 will require Baker to do significant additional investigation in order to respond.
8 Therefore, Baker was not be able to meet the deadline set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)(2).
9 Accordingly, Baker respectfully requests an additional thirty (30) days to answer
10 Antonetti's interrogatories, or up to and including Monday, December 23, 2019.

11 II. LEGAL AUTHORITIES

12 Local Rule 26-4 (revised May 1, 2016) provides as follows:

13 A motion or stipulation to extend any date set by the discovery
14 plan, scheduling order, or other order must, in addition to
15 satisfying the requirements of LR IA 6-1, be supported by a
16 showing of good cause for the extension. A motion or stipulation
17 to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan must be
18 received by the court no later than 21 days before the expiration
19 of the subject deadline. A request made within 21 days of the
20 subject deadline must be supported by a showing of good cause.
21 A request made after the expiration of the subject deadline will
22 not be granted unless the movant also demonstrates that the
23 failure to act was the result of excusable neglect. A motion or
24 stipulation to extend a discovery deadline or to reopen discovery
25 must include:

- 26 (a) A statement specifying the discovery completed;
- 27 (b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be
28 completed;
- 29 (c) The reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the
30 remaining discovery was not completed within the time limits
31 set by the discovery plan; and,
- 32 (d) A proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery.

33 III. ARGUMENT

34 Defendant submits that there is good cause to extend the discovery response
35 deadline. Defendants have been working diligently in an effort to meet the original

1 deadline by unfortunately are unable to meet the deadline. Defendant submits there is
2 good cause allowing Defendants an additional 30 days to respond to the Antonetti's
3 Request for Interrogatories. No extension to the Discovery Deadline or any other
4 deadline is necessary.

5 **IV. CONCLUSION**


6 Defendant Renee Baker is unable to meet the deadline set forth in Fed. R. Civ. Pro.
7 33(b)(2) because of the litany of discovery requests Antonetti has propounded upon her.
8 Accordingly, Baker respectfully requests this Court allow her up to and including
9 Monday, December 23, 2019, to answer Antonetti's interrogatories.

10 DATED this 22nd day of November, 2019.

11 AARON D. FORD
12 Attorney General

13 By: /s/Harry B. Ward
14 HARRY B. WARD, Bar No. 11317
15 Deputy Attorney General

16 *Attorneys for Defendants*

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED**
18 
19 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20 DATED: 11/25/2019

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that on this 22nd day of November, 2019, I caused to be deposited for mailing a true and correct copy of the foregoing, **DEFENDANT RENEE BAKER'S MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO ANSWER PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORIES (First Request)** , to the following:

Joseph Antonetti, #84533
P.O. Box 639
Las Cruces, NM 88004

/s/ Perla M. Hernandez
An employee of the
Office of the Attorney General