1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	JASON S. BROWN,	Case No. 3:17-cv-00687-MMD-WGC
10	Petitioner, v.	ORDER
11	WARDEN BAKER, <i>et al.,</i>	ONDER
12	Respondents.	
13		
14		
15	Following upon the entry of appearance (ECF No. 10) by the Federal Public	
16	Defender, it is ordered that the Federal Public Defender, through S. Alex Spelman, Esq.,	
17	is appointed as counsel for petitioner pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel	
18	will represent petitioner in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including any	
19	appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.	
20	It further is ordered that petitioner will have until up to and including one hundred	

twenty (120) days from entry of this order within which to file an amended petition and/or 21 seek other appropriate relief. Neither the foregoing deadline nor any extension thereof 22 signifies or will signify any implied finding as to the expiration of the federal limitation 23 period and/or of a basis for tolling during the time period established. Petitioner at all times 24 remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period and timely 25 asserting claims, without regard to any deadlines established or extensions granted 26 herein. That is, by setting a deadline to amend the petition and/or by granting any 27 extension thereof, the Court makes no finding or representation that the petition, any 28

amendments thereto, and/or any claims contained therein are not subject to dismissal as
 untimely. *See Sossa v. Diaz*, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).

It further is ordered that respondents must file a response to the amended petition,
including potentially by motion to dismiss, within sixty (60) days of service of an amended
petition and that petitioner may file a reply within thirty (30) days of service of an answer.
The response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including a motion filed
in lieu of a pleading, will be governed instead by Local Rule LR 7-2(b).

8 It is further ordered that any procedural defenses raised by respondents to the 9 counseled amended petition must be raised together in a single consolidated motion to 10 dismiss. In other words, the Court does not wish to address any procedural defenses 11 raised herein either in *seriatum* fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or 12 embedded in the answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will 13 be subject to potential waiver. Respondents must not file a response in this case that 14 consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except 15 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If 16 respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they shall 17 do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they shall specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in Cassett 18 19 v. Stewart, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, 20 including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural 21 defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

It is further ordered that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents must
specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court
record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

It is further ordered that any state court record and related exhibits filed herein by
either petitioner or respondents must be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying
the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further will be identified
by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. If the exhibits filed will span

2

1	more than one ECF Number in the record, the first document under each successive ECF	
2	Number must be either another copy of the index, a volume cover page, or some other	
3	document serving as a filler, so that each exhibit under the ECF Number thereafter will	
4	be listed under an attachment number (<i>i.e.</i> , Attachment 1, 2, etc.).	
5	It is further ordered that the hard copy of any exhibits filed by either counsel must	
6	be delivered — for this case — to the Reno Clerk's Office.	
7	DATED THIS 26 th day of March 2018.	
8		
9	And a	
10	MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-	3	