

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

<p>SONOMA SPRINGS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Nevada limited partnership and SONOMA SPRINGS ASSOCIATES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, a Maryland Corporation and ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY OF ILLINOIS, a Maryland Corporation and DOES 1-20, inclusive,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 3:18-cv-00021-LRH-VPC</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE AN OPPOSITION</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(FIRST REQUEST)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>AND ORDER THEREON</b></p>
---	--

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between Plaintiffs, SONOMA SPRINGS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SONOMA SPRINGS ASSOCIATES, LLC (hereinafter collectively “Plaintiffs”), by and through their counsel of record, JAMES W. PUZEY, ESQ. and AUDREY DAMONTE, ESQ., of HOLLEY, DRIGGS, WALCH, FINE, WRAY, PUZEY & THOMPSON, and Defendants, FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, and ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY OF ILLINOIS, (hereinafter collectively “Defendants”), by and through their counsel of record DAVID W. SLAUGHTER, ESQ., of

1 SNOW CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU Admitted Pro Hac Vice, and RYAN W. LEARY,  
2 ESQ., of LAXALT & NOMURA, hereby stipulate as follows:

3 1. Defendants' filed a Motion to Stay Case Pending Outcome of Litigation in  
4 Humboldt County, Nevada and Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities on March 5,  
5 2018 [ECF No. 30].

6 2. The response to the pending Motion to Stay Case Pending Outcome of Litigation  
7 in Humboldt County, Nevada was stayed until May 14, 2018, if, a global settlement was unable  
8 to be reached. [ECF No. 38].

9 3. On April 30, 2018 all parties attended a global settlement in an attempt to settle  
10 this case and the matter pending in the Sixth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada:  
11 Ascent Construction Inc., v. Sonoma Springs Limited Partnership, et al., Case No. CV21,053.

12 4. The reason for the extension of time in which for Plaintiffs' to file their  
13 Opposition to Defendants' Motion is that the parties were unable to reach a settlement and  
14 additional time is needed to address the issues relating to a requested stay and how it will affect  
15 the state court case.

16 5. Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay Case Pending Outcome of  
17 Litigation in Humboldt County, Nevada and Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities  
18 is currently due on May 14, 2018.

19 6. The undersigned hereby stipulate to an extension of time to and including June 4,  
20 2018, for the Plaintiffs to file their Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay Case Pending  
21 Outcome of Litigation in Humboldt County, Nevada and Supporting Memorandum of Points and  
22 Authorities.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

