Rimer	v	Baker	et	al
1 MILLON	v .	Dunoi	υı	u.

ereta	Case 3:18-cv-00023-MMD-WGC Document 55 Filed 01/20/21 Page 1 of 1	. ວ				
1						
2						
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
5 6	STANLEY RIMER, Case No. 3:18-cv-00023-MMD-WGC					
7	Petitioner, ORDER					
, 8	V.					
9	RENEE BAKER, <i>et al</i> .,					
10	Respondents.					
11	Respondents were required to file their reply in support of their motion to dismiss					
12	by January 19. 2021. (ECF No. 53.) On that date, Respondents filed an unopposed					
13	motion for enlargement of time (sixth request) of three days, which would allow them to					
14	to file their reply by January 22, 2021. (ECF No. 54.) The Court finds that Respondents'					
15	motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay,					
16	and there is good cause for the extension of time requested.					
17	It is therefore ordered that Respondents' unopposed motion for enlargement of					
18	time (sixth request) (ECF No. 54) is granted. Respondents will have up to and including					
19	January 22, 2021, to file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss (ECF No. 27).					
20	DATED THIS 20th Day of January 2021.					
21						
22	like					
23	MIRANDA M. DU CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						