107443420.1

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AVID C. McElhinney ate Bar No. 33 RISTEN L. MARTINI ate Bar No. 11272 NDSEY HERZOG (AZ BAR No. 032514) Wy pro hac vice) EWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP ae East Liberty Street, Suite 300 cno, Nevada 89501-2128 al: (775) 823-2900 mail: dmcelhinney@lrrc.com mail: dmcelhinney@lrrc.com torneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant dera Development, LLC	
Lewis Roca One East Liberty Street, Suite 300 ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE Reno, Nevada 89501-2128	9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
	10		
	11		
	12	HALL CA-NV, LLC, a Texas limited	
	13	liability company,	CASE NO. 3:18-cv-00124-RCJ-CBC
	14	Plaintiff,	
	15	v.	ORDER VACATING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S ORDER JECF
	16	LADERA DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	NO. 56 AND ALLOWING PRESENTATION OF
	17	Defendant.	ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE
	18	Defendant.	
	19	LADERA DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	
	20	Counterclaimant,	
	21	v.	
	22 23	HALL CA-NV, LLC, a Texas limited liability company,	
	24	Counterdefendant.	
	25		
	26	Before the Court is Plaintiff Hall CA-NV, LLC's ("Hall") Objection to Order Denying	
	27	Hall's Motion to Modify Subpoena Duces Tecum on Kolesar & Leatham (ECF No. 58),	
	28	("Objection"), and Defendant Ladera Development, LLC's ("Ladera") Limited Response to	
	- 1	I .	

Hall's Objection to Order Denying Hall's Motion to Modify Subpoena Duces Tecum On Kolesar & Leatham (ECF No. 69). Hall's Objection asserts that Kolesar & Leatham was deprived its due process rights to appear before the Court to contest the subject Subpoena Duces Tecum, and provide evidence regarding the nature and scope of its representation of Hall and Ladera, which Hall argues would have impacted the conclusions reached by the Having considered the above referenced documents and oral argument, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Order entered November 30, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED this matter is remanded back to Magistrate Judge Carrey to schedule a hearing to permit Kolesar & Leatham an opportunity to submit additional evidence in support of Hall's Motion to Modify Subpoena Duces Tecum on Kolesar & Leatham, (ECF 40), and as it concerns Kolesar & Leatham's legal representation of Hall in what the parties have commonly referred to as the Hall Nevada Adversary and the Hall California Adversary and Ladera in what the parties have commonly referred to as the Ladera UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE