

1 NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH  
 United States Attorney  
 2 District of Nevada  
 Nevada Bar Number 13644  
 3 United States Attorney  
 HOLLY A. VANCE  
 4 Assistant United States Attorney  
 United States Attorney's Office  
 5 400 S. Virginia Street, Suite 900  
 Reno, NV 89501  
 6 (775) 784-5438  
 Holly.A.Vance@usdoj.gov

7 *Attorneys for Defendant*

8  
 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 10 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 PATRICIA G. BARNES,  
 12  
 Plaintiff,  
 13  
 v.  
 14 NANCY A. BERRYHILL,  
 15 Acting Commissioner of Social Security,  
 16 Defendant.

Case No. 3:18-cv-00199-MMD-WGC  
  
**ORDER GRANTING Defendant's  
 Motion to Extend Deadline to Depose  
 Plaintiff and File Dispositive Motions  
 and Joint Pre-Trial Order**  
  
**Expedited Review Requested**

17 **Third Request**

18 Defendant Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security  
 19 ("Defendant"), hereby moves for an extension of time, until 21 days after a ruling on  
 20 Plaintiff's objections to the decision denying her motion for sanctions and protective order  
 21 (ECF No. 108), to depose Plaintiff and file dispositive motions and the joint pre-trial order.

22 **BACKGROUND**

23 Plaintiff Patricia G. Barnes ("Plaintiff") filed suit under the Age Discrimination in  
 24 Employment Act ("ADEA") and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act based on her non-  
 25 selection for one of five attorney advisor positions with the Social Security Administration  
 26 ("SSA") in Reno, Nevada. (ECF No. 86). On October 31, 2018, the Court entered a  
 27 scheduling order that established a discovery cut-off deadline of April 30, 2019. (ECF No.  
 28 55 p. 2).

1 On January 23, 2019, the Court entered an order staying the case due to the  
2 government shutdown. (ECF Nos. 72, 74). On January 29, 2019, Defendant moved to lift  
3 the stay and sought an amended scheduling order based on the re-opening of the  
4 government. (ECF No. 75). On February 20, 2019, the Court amended the scheduling  
5 order to establish a discovery cut-off deadline of June 4, 2019. (*Id.*).

6 In April 2019, Defendant issued subpoenas for Plaintiff's employment records.  
7 (ECF No. 92). In response, Plaintiff moved for sanctions and an emergency protective  
8 order to quash the subpoenas. (*Id.*). The Court denied Plaintiff's motion and extended the  
9 discovery cut-off deadline to June 28, 2019 "due to the delay involved in obtaining the  
10 information sought by [Defendant's] subpoenas as well as being able to take Plaintiff's  
11 deposition after receipt of the documents." (ECF No. 109 pp. 5-7). Plaintiff has objected to,  
12 and moved to stay, that ruling. (ECF No. 108). Plaintiff also has objected to Defendant's  
13 re-issuance of the subpoenas on the ground that the Court has not yet ruled on her  
14 objections to the denial of the motion for sanctions and protective order. (Vance Decl. ¶ 3  
15 Exs. A, B).

#### 16 **DISCOVERY CONDUCTED TO DATE**

17 Discovery in the case is nearly complete. (Vance Decl. ¶ 5). Both parties have  
18 exchanged interrogatories and requests for production. (*Id.*). In addition, Defendant  
19 submitted an expert report to Plaintiff and she responded with a rebuttal report. (*Id.*). After  
20 the Court's denial of Plaintiff's motion for sanctions and protective order, Defendant re-  
21 issued the subpoenas for Plaintiff's employment records. (*Id.*). The only remaining  
22 discovery that Defendant wishes to conduct is to depose Plaintiff. (*Id.*).

#### 23 **ARGUMENT**

24 Defendant wishes to depose Plaintiff before the current discovery cut-off deadline of  
25 June 28, 2019. Defendant anticipates, however, that Plaintiff will decline to answer  
26 deposition questions about her employment history. She has advised that she does not  
27 believe defense counsel should proceed with the subpoenas in light of her objections to the  
28 denial of her motion for sanctions and protective order. (Vance Decl ¶ 3 Exs. A, B). She

1 has expressed that Defendant should await a ruling from the Court on those objections  
2 before proceeding with the subpoenas. (*Id.*). Defendant informed Plaintiff that the order  
3 denying her motion for sanctions and a protective order authorizes Defendant to proceed  
4 with the issuance of the subpoenas. (Vance Decl ¶ 4 Exs. A, B). Because Plaintiff likely will  
5 not answer deposition questions about her employment history until the Court rules on her  
6 objections, Defendant has good cause to seek an extension of the deadlines to depose  
7 Plaintiff, and file dispositive motions and the joint pre-trial order, until 21 days after a  
8 ruling on her objections. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A) (“When an act may or must be done  
9 within a specified time, the court may, *for good cause*, extend the time...with or without  
10 motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its  
11 extension expires[.]”) (emphasis added).

12 This is Defendant’s third request for an extension of time to extend the scheduling  
13 order deadlines. *See* LR IA 6-1 (must advise of previous extensions). Currently, the  
14 discovery cut-off deadline is June 28, 2019; the dispositive motions deadline is August 5,  
15 2019; and the joint pre-trial order due date is September 9, 2019. (ECF No. 109 p. 7). This  
16 extension request is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

### 17 CONCLUSION

18 For the reasons argued above, the Court should grant Defendant’s extension request  
19 and allow Defendant up to and including 21 days after the Court rules on Plaintiff’s  
20 objections, to depose Plaintiff and file dispositive motions and the joint pre-trial order.

21 DATED: June 10, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

22 NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH  
23 United States Attorney

24 s/ Holly A. Vance  
25 HOLLY A. VANCE  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 **Dated:** June 12, 2019.

28 William G. Cobb  
**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**