



1 On August 12, 2019, Plaintiff filed this motion seeking leave to amend, and his proposed  
2 amended complaint. (ECF Nos. 24, 24-1.)

3 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(b), leave to amend should be freely given when  
4 required by justice. Given that Defendants had not yet filed an answer when Plaintiff filed this  
5 motion, and they have indicated they do not oppose the amendment, Plaintiff's motion to amend is  
6 granted. The court must still screen the complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915 and 1915A. The  
7 standards for screening are set forth in the original screening order, ECF No. 21.

8 Plaintiff's proposed amended complaint omits Count III, which was dismissed on screening  
9 of the original complaint. In addition, it re-asserts Counts I and II, but adds allegations to the due  
10 process claim in Count I against Sandoval, Southworth and Filson. The court has reviewed the  
11 allegations, and finds that Plaintiff states a colorable due process claim against these additional  
12 defendants because he alleges that these defendants knew, via a grievance, that Plaintiff was not  
13 given a due process hearing after being placed in administrative segregation but failed to act to  
14 remedy the situation.

15 The amended complaint names Healer and Clay. It appears this was an oversight to include  
16 them because they were dismissed in the original screening order and the proposed amended  
17 complaint includes no claims or allegations against them.

18 **CONCLUSION**

19 (1) Plaintiff's motion to amend (ECF No. 24) is **GRANTED**.

20 (2) The Clerk shall **FILE** the amended complaint (ECF No. 24-1).

21 (3) The amended complaint shall proceed with the following claims: (a) the Fourteenth  
22 Amendment due process claim in Count I against Filson, Oxborrow, Sandoval, Schmidt, and  
23 Southworth; (b) the Eighth Amendment conditions of confinement claim in Count II against Boon-

1 Sharp, Cox, Deshane, Isenbergh, Rose and Williams. Defendants Healer and Clay will remain  
2 dismissed from this action.

3 (4) Within **21 days** of the date of this Order, the Attorney General's Office must file a  
4 notice advising the court and Plaintiff whether it will accept service for Filson, Sandoval, and  
5 Southworth. If it does not accept service for these defendants, it must file under seal, but not serve  
6 Plaintiff, their last known addresses. If the last known address is a post office box, the Attorney  
7 General's Office must attempt to obtain and provide the last known physical address(es). If service  
8 cannot be accepted for any of these defendants, Plaintiff must file a motion identifying the  
9 unserved defendant(s), requesting issuance of a summons, and if the Attorney General has not  
10 provided a last known address under seal, then Plaintiff must provide the full name and address  
11 for the defendants.

12 (5) Within **45 days** of the date of this Order, any defendants for whom the Attorney General  
13 has accepted service must file and serve an answer or other responsive pleading to the amended  
14 complaint.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated: August 27, 2019.

17 

18 

---

William G. Cobb  
19 United States Magistrate Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23