Doc.	4
------	---

1	DAYLE ELIESON United States Attorney			
2	GREG ADDINGTON Nevada State Bar #6875			
4	Assistant United States Attorney Bruce R. Thompson Federal Building and U.S. C	Courthouse		
5	400 South Virginia Street, Suite 900 Reno, Nevada 89501			
6	(775) 784-5438 (775) 784-5181-facsimile <u>Greg.Addington@usdoj.gov</u>			
7 8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT		
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
9 10	COLPO CALDO, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,			
11	Plaintiff,	3:18-cv-289-LRH-WGC ORDER GRANTING UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR		
12	V.	EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT		
13	RONALD TRUNK, et al.,	(First Request)		
14 15	Defendants.			
16		•		
17	Defendant United States of America, thr	ough its undersigned counsel, moves this Court		
18	for an Order extending the time within which it is required to file a responsive pleading to and			
10	including August 17, 2018. Counsel for plaintiff does not object to the requested extension of			
20	time. The basis for this motion is as follows:			
	1. This quiet title and judicial forea	closure action was originally filed in the Third		
21	Judicial District for the State of Nevada (Lyon County). Among the several defendants named in			
22	the Complaint is the United States.			
23				
24				
		1		

2. It is anticipated that the United States will assert an interest in the subject real property superior to the interest alleged by plaintiff.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3. On June 18, 2018, the United States filed its Notice of Removal (#1) removing this action from the State Court to the Federal Court pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1442(a) and 1444.

4. Rule 81(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, provides the time period to answer a complaint in a removed action. The time period provided by Rule 81(c) is the later of twentyone (21) days after service of the complaint or seven (7) days after removal. However, the United States would ordinarily be permitted a sixty (60) day response time, calculated from the date of service of process, pursuant to Rule 12(a)(3), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. At this time, it does not appear that service of process was effected on the United
 States prior to removal of this action to this Court. United States Department of Agriculture Office of General Counsel became aware of the State-court action in mid-June 2018.

6. The usual sixty-day response time is needed to adequately evaluate the allegations
of the complaint, ascertain the United States' interest in the subject real property, and to thereafter
prepare a response to the complaint.

7. No prior request has been made for the relief requested herein. The undersigned spoke to plaintiff's counsel and was advised he has no objection to the requested extension.

//

//

//

//

24 ||

1	8. It is requested that the time for the United States to respond to the complaint be		
2	extended to sixty days following the date of removal of this action, an extension that would yield		
3	a deadline of August 17, 2018, for a response.		
4	Respectfully submitted,		
5	DAYLE ELIESON		
6	United States Attorney		
7	<u>/s/ Greg Addington</u> GREG ADDINGTON		
8	Assistant United States Attorney		
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11	Date:, 2018.		
12	With G. Cobb- UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
- ·			
	3		