23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 9 10 COLPO CALDO, LLC, a Nevada limited 3:18-cy-289-LRH-WGC liability company, ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO 11 Plaintiff, DISMISS SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 12 ٧. WITHOUT PREJUDICE 13 RONALD TRUNK; LYNN McMAHON; RYAN C. O'CALLAGHAN, Trustee of the 14 Ryan C. O'Callaghan Trust dated December 6, 2006; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, acting through the Farm Service Agency, United 15 States Department of Agriculture; and Any and All Other Persons Known or Unknown 16 Claiming Any Right, Title, Estate, Lien, or Interest in the Property Described in the 17 Complaint Adverse to Plaintiff's Interest or Any 18 Cloud Upon Plaintiff's Interest Thereto; and DOES I through X, inclusive, 19 Defendants. 20 21 22

COMES NOW Plaintiff, COLPO CALDO, LLC, by and through its counsel of record, Matuska Law Offices, Ltd., Michael L. Matuska, Esq., and the United States of America, acting through the Farm Service Agency (hereafter, FSA), by and through its counsel of record, Walsh Baker & Rosevear, James M. Walsh, Esq. and Christopher Chiou, Esq., Acting U.S. Attorney, and do hereby state stipulate and agree as follows:

That the Second Claim for Relief (Civil Conspiracy) alleged in COLPO CALDO,
 LLC's Complaint against Ronald Trunk and Lynne McMahon shall be and is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

1		
2	DATED: October 12, 2021	DATED: October 12, 2021
3	MATUSKA LAW OFFICES, LTD.	WALSH BAKER & ROSEVEAR
4	By: _/s/ MICHAEL L. MATUSKA	By: /s/ JAMES M. WALSH
5	MICHAEL L. MATUSKA, ESQ.	JAMES M. WALSH, ESQ.
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Farm Service Agency
7		DATED: October 12, 2021
8		CHRISTOPHER CHIOU
9		Acting United States Attorney
10		
11		By: /s/ CHRISTOPHER CHIOU
12		CHRISTOPHER CHIOU, ESQ. Acting United States Attorney
13		Attorneys for Farm Service Agency
14		ORDER
15		
15 16		Claim for Relief (Civil Conspiracy) alleged in the Ronald Trunk and Lynne McMahon is DISMISSED
		Claim for Relief (Civil Conspiracy) alleged in the Ronald Trunk and Lynne McMahon is DISMISSED
16	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F	
16 17	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16 17 18	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. LARRY R. HICKS	
16 17 18 19	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. LARRY R. HICKS United States District Judge	
16 17 18 19 20	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. LARRY R. HICKS	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. LARRY R. HICKS United States District Judge	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. LARRY R. HICKS United States District Judge	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. LARRY R. HICKS United States District Judge	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. LARRY R. HICKS United States District Judge	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants F WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. LARRY R. HICKS United States District Judge	