1	CARL M. HEBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar #250	
2	2215 Stone View Drive	
3	Sparks, NV 89436 (775) 323-5556	
4	carl@cmhebertlaw.com	
	DANIEL S. BRETZIUS, ESQ. – PRO	HAC VICE
5	Dan B Law PLLC 75 South Main Street, #272	
6	Concord, NH 03301 (603) 731-2507	
7	dan@danblaw.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendant Patrick Grime	s
9		TATES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF NEVADA
10		
11 12	ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS, CORP. and ALTERNATIVE	
13	PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	
		Case Number
14	Plaintiffs,	3:20-cv-00040-MMD-CLB
15	VS.	Defendant's Emergency Motion for Authorization to Issue Evidence Preservation
16 17	PATRICK GRIMES,	Subpoena
18	Defendant.	
19	DEFENDANT'S	S EMERGENCY MOTION
2021		UTHORIZATION E PRESERVATION SUBPOENA
	Pursuant to LR 7-4, Defendant	Patrick Grimes hereby moves for authorization to
22	issue an evidence preservation subpo-	ena on PS Orange Co. Inc. d/b/a Public Storage
23	("Public Storage") and states as follows	s:

INTRODUCTION 1 Jack Waldron and Defendant Patrick Grimes are listed as co-inventors on five 2 3 United States patents. In 2019, Jack Waldron alleged to Plaintiffs that Defendant Grimes was improperly listed on the five patents. In turn, Plaintiffs allege that they own the patents-4 in-suit and commenced this action to attempt to remove Defendant Grimes from the listed 5 inventors on the five patents-in-suit. 6 **BACKGROUND** 7 Defendant has conducted depositions of Plaintiffs' Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) witness 8 and Jack Waldron. Plaintiffs have indicated that they have done nothing to investigate the 9 allegations made by Mr. Waldron. (ECF No. 36-8, 30(b)(6) Dep., 42:25-43:4): 10 11 Did plaintiffs do anything to investigate the claims made by Mr. Waldron that there was improper inventorship? Not that I'm aware of. We believe what Jack said." 12 During Mr. Grimes' employment, he maintained files in his office that are relevant 13 14 to this action, including three-ring binders that had relevant patent and research documents in them. (ECF No. 36-16, Waldron Dep., 71:23) ("There were three-ring binders in his 15 16 office."). Plaintiffs have neither attempted to locate nor produced any of Mr. Grimes' three-17 ring binders or office files. (ECF No. 36-8, 30(b)(6) Dep., 50:13-19): "Q. Did plaintiffs make any efforts to locate the three-ring 18 binders that Mr. Grimes is alleging to have maintained? A. Jack Waldron wound up taking the office that Patrick 19 once had. And he's been running the company since Patrick 20 left. And I don't know whether he found any or not." On February 23, 2021, Jack Waldron indicated that items previously located in Mr. 21 22 Grimes' office, which included the three ring binders and other documentation related to 23 the five patents-in-suit, were moved to a storage unit, with their final disposition was

1 unknown. (ECF No. 36-16, Waldron Dep., 64:12-18): "O. And where were the items moved to? 2 A. They were moved to a storage facility on Valley Road. 3 Q. Are they still there? A. No. Valley Road facility has been closed. They probably ended up being disposed of somewhere around two years 4 after [Mr. Grimes] left." 5 On February 23, 2021, Jack Waldron additionally indicated that his personal lab 6 notebooks were "stashed in my storage in a fire-proof lockup". (Id., 70:4-5). 7 The Storage Unit 8 Defendant has become aware that Jack Waldron rented a Storage Unit on behalf of 9 Plaintiff Alternative Petroleum Technologies Inc. until late 2020, when the rent due 10 became in arrears. (Exhibit 2, Declaration of Carl Hebert ("Hebert Dec.") at ¶¶ 3-5). The 11 Storage Unit is owned by Public Storage and is identified as Unit #A161, located at Public 12 Storage #24535, 200 Telegraph Street, Reno, NV 89502. (Id. at ¶ 3). The Storage Unit 13 measures approximately 12 feet by 20 feet. (Id. at ¶ 4). The Storage Unit is believed to 14 contain the remainder of Plaintiffs' three-ring binders and office files, Jack Waldron's 15 notebooks, missing invention disclosure forms, a computer server with back-up e-mail 16 correspondence, and other evidence and documentation relevant to this action. 17 On May 4, 2021, Defendant learned that the contents of the Storage Unit have been 18 marked for destruction at any moment. (Id. at ¶ 7). Defendant also learned that: [i] the rent 19 has been in arrears since at least as early as late 2020) (Id.); [ii] the contents were advertised 20 for sale on February 25, 2021 and March 25, 2021, but luckily were not sold (Id.) & 21 (Exhibit 3, Notices of Public Sale); [iii] the account related to the Storage Unit has been 22 terminated and closed (Exhibit 2, Hebert Dec. at ¶ 5); and [iv] the contents of the Storage

23

Unit have not been destroyed since April 5, 2021 solely due to luck that the destruction company has not yet arrived to the Storage Unit. (Exhibit 2, Hebert Dec. at ¶ 7).

Defendant promptly sent a written preservation request to Public Storage, asking Public Storage to preserve the contents of the Storage Unit. (<u>Id.</u> at ¶ 8) & (<u>Exhibit 4</u>, Written Request to Public Storage). Public Storage is not a party to this litigation and does not have an obligation to comply with LR 7-4(a)(3)'s meet and confer requirements. Additionally, as a non-party, Public Storage is under no obligation to preserve the contents of the Storage Unit without a court order.

Accordingly, with the understanding that emergency motions should be rare, Defendant seeks an order from this Court permitting Defendant to serve a document preservation subpoena (proposed as Exhibit 5) on non-party PS Orange Co. Inc. ("Public Storage"), to protect critical evidence that was hidden by Plaintiffs, transferred to Public Storage, and is currently marked for destruction at any moment. This subpoena, which requires only the preservation of evidence and not actual production at this time, will place little to no burden on Public Storage, and may even save Public Storage the cost of destruction services. Without leave to serve this subpoena, critical evidence will be forever lost, causing obvious and severe prejudice to Defendant and preventing justice from being served.

ARGUMENT

A party must preserve evidence it knows or should know is relevant to a claim or defense of any party, or that may lead to the discovery of relevant evidence. <u>United States v. Kitsap Physicians Serv.</u>, 314 F.3d 995, 1001 (9th Cir. 2002). However, the same obligation to preserve does not apply to non-parties. Plaintiffs violated their obligation to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

preserve and produce the contents of the Storage Unit and are no longer permitted to access the Storage Unit. The new custodian of the contents, Public Storage, is a non-party to this litigation and therefore under no obligation to preserve the contents of the Storage Unit. Public Storage is currently intending to destroy the contents of the Storage Unit. Without a court order, Public Storage will destroy information relevant to this action. Before a preservation order is issued, the movant must show that there is a significant concern that potentially relevant evidence will be destroyed, thereby causing harm to the movant. CenturyLink, Inc. v. Alpine Audio Now, LLC, 2016 WL 192291 at *1 (D. Colo. Jan. 15, 2016). In this case, Public Storage has taken custody of the contents of the Storage Unit since late 2020, has advertised them for sale in February and March 2021, and has marked the contents for destruction since April 5, 2021. Destruction of the contents of the Storage Unit would cause prejudice to Defendant, who has already been prejudiced by Plaintiffs' non-preservation and non-production. Saving the contents of the Storage Unit from destruction is the only way that the prejudice can possibly be mitigated. "A party alleging that discovery is 'necessary to preserve evidence' must ... make a specific showing that the 'loss of evidence is imminent as opposed to merely speculative." In re Vivendi Universal, S.A., Securities Litigation, 381 F.Supp.2d 129, 130 (S.D. N.Y. 2003). Here, co-counsel for Defendant visited Public Storage #24535, located at 200 Telegraph Street, Reno, NV 89502, and learned that Unit A161 has been marked for destruction and will be destroyed at any moment. Thus, loss of evidence is imminent. Compare to M.S. v. Hyundai Motor America, No. 2:20-cv-01861-GMN-BNW (D. Nev. Feb. 16, 2021) ("the court finds that, absent a preservation order, there is a significant possibility that Fast Towing may not "maintain the integrity of the evidence in question,"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

since it is not a party to the underlying action, has no duty to do so, and has already communicated an intent to 'crush' it.") Therefore, good cause exists for issuance of the document preservation subpoena to non-party Public Storage. Compare to Prescott v. Slide Fire Solutions, LP, No. 2:18-cv-00296-GMN-CWH, Doc. 39 (D. Nev. Jan. 31, 2019) (granting authority to issue preservation subpoena regarding firearm attachments in custody of non-party); Tesla, Inc. v. Tripp, No. 3:18-cv-00296-MMD-CLB, Doc. No. 12 (D Nev. June 27, 2018) (granting authority to issue preservation subpoenas regarding nonparty cloud storage and e-mail). The court has the inherent authority to order a non-party to preserve evidence, provided it does so with restraint and discretion. Bright Solutions for Dyslexia, Inc. v. Doe, 2015 WL 5159125, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 2, 2015); accord, Arkin v. Gracey-Danna, Inc., 2016 WL 3959611, at *1 (M.D. Fla. July 22, 2016); Deggs v. Fives Bronx, Inc., 2020 WL 3100023, at *2 (M.D. La. June 11, 2020). There will be no undue burden to Public Storage from compliance with the document preservation subpoena. The proposed subpoena (Exhibit 5) is narrowly tailored to require only preservation of the contents of the Storage Unit. Non-party Public Storage will not be required at this time to produce anything, although, if it ultimately is required to provide access or convey custody of the Contents to Defendant, Public Storage will save on destruction fees that would otherwise be required. Thus, the subpoena ensures the critical evidence, documents, and other contents are preserved, with minimal inconvenience to Public Storage (and possibly even some future benefit).

1 **CONCLUSION** For the foregoing reasons, good cause exists for the Court to authorize Defendant 2 3 to issue a document preservation subpoena to Public Storage, requiring Public Storage to preserve the contents of Unit #A161, located at Public Storage #24535, 200 Telegraph 4 Street, Reno, NV 89502. 5 6 Dated this 6th day of May, 2021. 7 /s/ Carl M. Hebert 8 CARL M. HEBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar #250 9 2215 Stone View Drive **Sparks**, NV 89436 10 (775) 323-5556 carl@cmhebertlaw.com 11 /s/ Daniel S. Bretzius 12 Daniel S. Bretzius, pro hac vice Dan B Law PLLC 13 75 South Main Street, #272 14 Concord, NH 03301 (603) 731-2507 dan@danblaw.com 15 Attorneys for Defendant 16 Patrick Grimes 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED: 19 20 Dated this 6th day of May 2021. United States Magistrate Judge 21 22

23

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** Pursuant to LR 5-1, the undersigned certifies that, on May 6, 2021, I served the 2 attached Emergency Motion for Authorization to Issue Evidence Preservation Subpoena 3 via ECF to counsel of record for Plaintiffs: 4 5 Jason M. Wiley, Esq. & Ryan S. Petersen, Esq. Wiley Petersen 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 130 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 jwiley@wileypetersenlaw.com 7 rpetersen@wileypetersenlaw.com 8 Jovan N. Jovanovic, Esq. 9 The Watson IP Group, PLC 3133 Highland Drive, Suite 200 Hudsonville, MI 49426 10 jjovanovic@watson-ip.com 11 Dated this 6th day of May, 2021 12 /s/ Daniel S. Bretzius 13 Daniel S. Bretzius Dan B Law PLLC 14 75 South Main Street, #272 Concord, NH 03301 15 (603) 731-2507 dan@danblaw.com 16 Attorney for Defendant 17 Patrick Grimes 18 19 20 21 22 23

CARL M. HEBERT, ESQ.		
Nevada Bar #250 2215 Stone View Drive		
Sparks, NV 89436		
(775) 323-5556		
carl@cmhebertlaw.com		
DANIEL S. BRETZIUS, ESQ. – PRO	O HAC VICE	
Dan B Law PLLC		
75 South Main Street, #272 Concord, NH 03301		
(603) 731-2507		
dan@danblaw.com		
Attorneys for Defendant Patrick Grim	nes	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	STRICT OF NEVADA	
ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM		
TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS, CORP. and ALTERNATIVE		
PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES,		
INC.,		
22.0.,	Case Number	
Plaintiffs,	3:20-cv-00040-MMD-CLB	
VS.	Exhibits to Defendant's Emergency	
	Motion for Authorization to Issue Evidence	
DATDICK CDIMES	Preservation Subpoena	
PATRICK GRIMES,		
Defendant.		
	EXHIBIT 1	
	-	
INDI	EX OF EXHIBITS	

Exhibit 1 – Index of Exhibits Exhibit 2 – Declaration of Carl M. Hebert Exhibit 3 – Notices of Public Sale, February and March 2021 Exhibit 4 – Preservation Letter to Public Storage Exhibit 5 – Proposed Subpoena to Public Storage

CARL M. HEBERT, ESQ.		
Nevada Bar #250 2215 Stone View Drive		
Sparks, NV 89436 (775) 323-5556 carl@cmhebertlaw.com		
DANIEL S. BRETZIUS, ESQ. – PRO Dan B Law PLLC 75 South Main Street, #272	HAC VICE	
Concord, NH 03301		
(603) 731-2507 dan@danblaw.com		
Attorneys for Defendant Patrick Grimes		
	STATES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF NEVADA	
ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS,		
CORP. and ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,		
•	Case Number	
Plaintiffs,	3:20-cv-00040-MMD-CLB	
VS.	Exhibits to Defendant's Emergency Motion for Authorization to Issue Evidence	
PATRICK GRIMES,	Preservation Subpoena	
Defendant.		
	<u></u>	
	EXHIBIT 2	
DECLARATIO	ON OF CARL M. HEBERT	

DECLARATION OF CARL M. HEBERT 1 2 I, Carl Hebert of Sparks, Nevada, declare the following of my own personal 3 knowledge: 1. I am co-counsel for defendant Patrick Grimes in Alternative Petroleum 4 Products v. Grimes, case no. 3:20-cv-00040, filed in this court. 5 2. This declaration is given in support of Defendant's Emergency Motion 6 7 for Authorization to Issue Evidence Preservation Subpoena. 8 3. On May 4, 2021, I visited Public Storage #24535, located at 200 9 Telegraph Street, Reno Nevada 89502 to inquire into the contents of storage unit #A161 (the "Storage Unit"). 10 11 4. I spoke with a representative of Public Storage, Kelly, who informed me 12 that the Storage Unit was 12 feet by 20 feet and is completely full with materials. 13 5. Kelly informed me that the Storage Unit was rented by Jack Waldron 14 and, when active, could only be accessed by Jack Waldron. 15 6. Kelly told me that it is standard operating procedure for units in arrears 16 for more than two months to be advertised and offered for sale at auction. 17 Kelly informed me that, given the long duration of arrearages, the 18 account related to the Storage Unit had been closed and terminated. Kelly said 19 that the contents of the Storage Unit have been marked for destruction since April 20 5, 2021 and will be destroyed at any moment, as soon as the company tasked with destruction (shredding) arrives at the Storage Unit. 21

Storage to preserve the contents of the Storage Unit.

22

23

8. I sent a written preservation request to Public Storage, asking Public

1	The movant can be reached through the undersigned at 2215 Stone
2	View Drive, Sparks, NV 89436, at (775) 323-5556 or carl@cmhebertlaw.com.
3	10. The Storage Unit and its contents currently belong to and are in the
4	possession of Public Storage. Public Storage can be reached through the Northern
5	Nevada Manager, Ashley Henke, at ahenke@publicstorage.com (no phone
6	number yet available), or through the Regional Manager, Devin Dance, at 206-
7	772-1190, ext. 3565, or ddance@publicstorage.com .
8	11. The nature of this emergency precludes a meet and confer, namely the
9	fact that Public Storage is not a party to this litigation and does not have an
10	obligation to comply with LR 7-4(a)(3)'s meet and confer requirements.
11	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the
12	foregoing is true and correct.
13	Executed on May 6, 2021
14	
15	Care M. Hebert
16	CARL M. HEBERT
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

CARL M. HEBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar #250		
2215 Stone View Drive		
Sparks, NV 89436		
(775) 323-5556 carl@cmhebertlaw.com		
DANIEL S. BRETZIUS, ESQ Dan B Law PLLC	- PRO HAC VICE	
75 South Main Street, #272		
Concord, NH 03301		
(603) 731-2507 dan@danblaw.com		
dan(d/danoiaw.com		
Attorneys for Defendant Patrick	Grimes	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	1	
ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM	1	
TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS		
CORP. and ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGII	E9	
INC.,	23,	
	Case Number	
Plaintiffs,	3:20-cv-00040-MMD-CLB	
VS.	Exhibits to Defendant's Emergency	
	Motion for Authorization to Issue Evidence	
PATRICK GRIMES,	Preservation Subpoena	
Defendant.		
	EXHIBIT 3	
NOTICES OF PURI.	- IC SALE – FEBRUARY AND MARCH 2021	
NOTICES OF TOBE	IC SALE - FEDRUART AND MARCH 2021	

8C | WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2021 | RENO GAZETTE JOURNAL

Public Notices

NOTICE OF PUBLIC SALE

To satisfy the owner's storage lien, PS Orange Co. Inc. will sell at public lien sale on February 25, 2021, the personal property in the below-listed units, which may include but are not limited to: household and personal items, office and other equipment. The public sale of these items will begin at 10:00 AM and continue until all units are sold. The lien sale is to be held at the online auction website, www.sto rogetreasures.com, where indicated. For online lien sales, bids will be accepted until 2 hours after the time of the sale specified.

PUBLIC STORAGE # 23073, 9450 S Virginia St, Reno, NV 89511, (775) 440-6468
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
A21 - Harlow, Douglas; B07 - Christonsen, Michael; C34 - Nichols, Autumn; D53 - Becoat, Charles
PUBLIC STORAGE # 20282, 4875 S McCarran Blvd, Reno, NV 89502, (775) 376-9153
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
1016 - Ruiz, Bryan; 1086 - Harris, Terri; 2009 - Sanchez, Daniel; 2052 - Goodwin, Alanc; 3008 - Hatfield, Garrett; 4412 - Dickey, Dakota; 4608 - Squartsoff, Aylo; 7137 - Moxiey, William
PUBLIC STORAGE # 24535, 200 Telegraph Street, Reno, NV 89502, (775) 376-9081
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com. (7/5) 3/6-908 Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com. A140-H - Hanett, Jessica; A161 - Alternative Petroleum Technologies Inc. Waldran, Jack; 8030-G - Ross, Ricky; 8034-D - flores, lesther; B060 - Kirbis, Josh; C252 - Waugh, Kaillee; D301 - Marales, Reyola

6B | WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2021 | RENO GAZETTE JOURNAL

Public Notices

NOTICE OF PUBLIC SALE

To satisfy the owner's storage lien, PS Orange Co. Inc. will sell at public lien sale on February 25, 2021, the personal property in the below-listed units, which may include but are not limited to: household and personal items, office and other equipment. The public sale of these items will begin at 10:00 AM and continue until all units are sale. The lien sale is to be held at the online auction website, www.storagetreasures.com, where indicated. For online lien sales, bids will be accepted until 2 hours after the time of the sale specified.

PUBLIC STORAGE # 23073, 9450 S Virginia St, Reno, NV 89511, (775)

440-6468
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
A21 - Harlow, Douglas: B07 - Christensen, Michael; C34 - Nichols, Autumn; D53 - Becoat, Charles
PUBLIC STORAGE # 20282, 4875 S McCarran Blvd, Reno, NV 89502, (775) 376-9153
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
1016 - Rulz, Bryan; 1086 - Harris, Terri; 2009 - Sanchez, Daniel; 2052 - Goodwin, Alana; 3008 - Hatrield, Garrett; 4412 - Dickey, Dakota; 4608 - Sayartsoff, Ayla; 7137 - Moxley, William
PUBLIC STORAGE # 24535, 200 Telegraph Street, Reno, NV 89502, (775) 376-9081
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
A140-H - Hanelt, Jessica; A161 - Alternative Petroleum Technologies Inc. Waldron, Jack; B030-G - Ross, Ricky; B034-D - flores, lesther; B060 - Kirbis, Josh; C252 - Waugh, Kaillee; D301 - Morales, Reyola

6A | TUESDAY, MARCH 9, 2021 | RENO GAZETTE JOURNAL

NOTICE OF PUBLIC SALE

To satisfy the owner's storage lien, PS Orange Co. Inc. will sell at public lien sale on March 25, 2021, the personal property in the below-listed units, which may include but are not limited to: household and personal items, office and other equipment. The public sale of these items will begin at 10:00 AM and continue until all units are sold. The lien sale is to be held at the online auction website, www.storagetreasures.com, where indicated. For online lien sales, bids will be accepted until 2 hours after the time of the sale specified. PUBLIC STORAGE # 23073, 9450 S Virginia St, Reno, NV 89511, (775) 440-6468
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
103 - Tolliver, Tasia; A34 - Delanoza, Rodney; B74 - Delanoza, Rodney; C35 - Soldang-Marquez, Aldo PUBLIC STORAGE # 20222, 4875 S McCarran Blvd, Reno, NV 89502, (775) 376-9153
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
1026 - Dzygryniuk, Sarah; 2009 - Sanchez, Danlel; 3019 - Renfrow, Shannon; 3020 - Dickey, Dakota; 6015 - Snipe, Alran; 6078 - Gil, Nicholas; 7064 - Galindo, Mario
PUBLIC STORAGE # 24535, 200 Telegraph Street, Reno, NV 89502, (775) 376-9081
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
A104 - Askin, Andrew; A136-A - thurman, keara; A141-A - Bennett, Islael, A143-F - Orto, Mark; A161 - Alternative Petroleum Technologies Inc. Waldran, Jack; B032-J - Pahe, Brenton Mathew; B037-F - Green, Jacob; C222 - Myers, Melissa; C229 - Caldwell, Thomas; C239 - Huckabee, Dillon; D346 - Aguirre-Marquez, Adriano; E420 - Velasco, Angel; F505 - lobste, Jeremy

8A | TUESDAY, MARCH 16, 2021 | RENO GAZETTE JOURNAL

Public Notices

NOTICE OF PUBLIC SALE

To satisfy the owner's storage lien, PS Orange Co. Inc. will sell at public lien sale on March 25, 2021, the personal property in the below-listed units, which may include but are not limited to: household and personal items, office and other equipment. The public sale of these items will begin at 10:00 AM and continue until all units are sold. The lien sale is to be held at the online auction website, www.storagetreasures.com, where indicated. For online lien sales, bids will be accepted until 2 hours after the time of the sale specified. PUBLIC STORAGE # 23073, 9456 S Virginia St, Reno, NV 89511, (775) 440-6468
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
103 - Tolliver, Tasia; A34 - Delanoza, Radney; B74 - Delanoza, Radney; C35 - Saldano-Marquez, Aldo PUBLIC STORAGE # 20282, 4875 S McCarran Blvd, Reno, NV 89502, (775) 376-9153
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
1026 - Dzygryniuk, Sarah; 2009 - Sanchez, Daniel; 3019 - Renfrow, Shannon; 3020 - Dickey, Dakota; 6015 - Snipe, Airon; 6078 - Gil, Nicholas; 7064 - Gallindo, Mario
PUBLIC STORAGE # 24535, 200 Telegraph Street, Reno, NV 89502, (775) 376-9081
Sale to be held at www.storagetreasures.com.
A104 - Askin, Androw; A136-A - thurman, keara; A141-A - Bennett, Isreal; A143-F - Orta, Mark; A151 - Alternative Petroleum Technologies Inc. Waldron, Jack; B032-J - Pahe, Brenton Mathow; B037-F - Green, Jacob; C222 - Myers, Melissa; C229 - Caldwell, Thomas; C239 - Huckobee, Dillon; D346 - Aguirre-Marquez, Adriana; E420 - Velasco, Angel; F505 - lobste, Jeremy

1	CARL M. HEBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar #250		
2	2215 Stone View Drive Sparks, NV 89436		
3	(775) 323-5556 carl@cmhebertlaw.com		
4		HACAUCE	
5	DANIEL S. BRETZIUS, ESQ. – PRO Dan B Law PLLC 75 South Main Street, #272	HAC VICE	
6	Concord, NH 03301		
7	(603) 731-2507 dan@danblaw.com		
8	Attorneys for Defendant Patrick Grimes		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10		I	
11	ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS,		
12	CORP. and ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES,		
13	INC.,	Case Number	
14	Plaintiffs,	3:20-cv-00040-MMD-CLB	
15	VS.	Exhibits to Defendant's Emergency Motion for Authorization to Issue Evidence	
16	PATRICK GRIMES,	Preservation Subpoena	
17	FATRICK UNIVIES,		
18	Defendant.		
19			
20		EXHIBIT 4	
21	PRESERVATION LETTER TO PUBLIC STORAGE		
22			
23			

CARL M. HÉBERT, ESQUIRE

2215 Stone View Drive, Sparks, Nevada 89436

May 6, 2021

Public Storage #24535
200 Telegraph Street
Reno, NV 89502
Ashley Henke, Northern Nevada Manager (ahenke@publicstorage.com)
Devin Dance, Regional Manager (ddance@publicstorage.com)

RE: Unit #A161—Preservation of evidence

Dear Ms. Henke and Mr. Dance:

I represent Patrick Grimes, who is a party in litigation involving Jack Waldron and Alternative Petroleum Technologies, Inc. ("APT, Inc."). A copy of the complaint in Alternative Petroleum Products v. Grimes, case no. 3:20-cv-00040 in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada is attached for your use. We have recently learned that Jack Waldron previously rented Unit #A161 at Public Storage #24535, 200 Telegraph Street, Reno, NV 89502 (the "Storage Unit") on behalf of APT, Inc. We have also learned that the rent for the Storage Unit has been in arrears, that the contents of the Storage Unit have been unsuccessfully advertised for sale, and that the contents of the Storage Unit are currently marked for destruction at any moment.

It is our understanding that Public Storage has appropriate safeguards and processes to prevent destruction of the contents of the Storage Unit, which may contain valuable evidence in the referenced litigation. Because the contents of the Storage Unit are relevant to pending litigation involving Jack Waldron and APT, Inc., it is imperative that Public Storage take all efforts to cancel and prevent destruction of the contents of the Storage Unit.

I greatly appreciate your assistance and cooperation with this matter. Should you have

VOICE: 775-772-5556 · FAX: 775-323-5597 EMAIL: CARL@CMHEBERTLAW.COM any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Carl M. Wellet

Sincerely,

Carl Hebert, Esq.

Enclosure as stated

2	Nevada Bar #250 2215 Stone View Drive		
3	Sparks, NV 89436 (775) 323-5556 carl@cmhebertlaw.com		
4	DANIEL S. BRETZIUS, ESQ. – PRO	HAC VICE	
5	Dan B Law PLLC	Three viels	
6	75 South Main Street, #272 Concord, NH 03301		
7	(603) 731-2507 dan@danblaw.com		
8	Attorneys for Defendant Patrick Grimes		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10			
11	ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM		
12	TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS, CORP. and ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES,		
13	INC.,	Case Number	
14	Plaintiffs,	3:20-cv-00040-MMD-CLB	
15	VS.	Exhibits to Defendant's Emergency Motion for Authorization to Issue Evidence	
16	PATRICK GRIMES,	Preservation Subpoena	
17	Defendant.		
18	Defendant.		
19		EXHIBIT 5	
20		- DENA TO PUBLIC STORAGE	
21		JENA TO PUBLIC STURAGE	
22			

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

Alternative Petroleum Technologies Holdings Corp.

United States District Court

for the

District of Nevada

Plaintiff)
v. Patrick Grimes) Civil Action No.
Faulck Gillies)
Defendant	,)
	MENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: PS Orange Co	b. Inc. d/b/a Public Storage
(Name of person t	o whom this subpoena is directed)
	duce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
Place:	Date and Time:
other property possessed or controlled by you at the time	DED to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or e, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party le the property or any designated object or operation on it. Date and Time:
Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences	re attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; et to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to of not doing so.
Date:	
CLERK OF COURT	OR
Signature of Clerk or Deputy	Clerk Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number Defendant Patrick Grimes	er of the attorney representing (name of party) , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Carl Hebert, 2215 Stone View Drive, Sparks, NV 894	

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 3:20-cv-00040-MMD-CLB

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this sub	ppoena for (name of individual and title, if a	(ny)			
date)	·				
☐ I served the su	☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows:				
		on (date) ;	or		
☐ I returned the s	subpoena unexecuted because:				
	Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of				
	·	o, and the minings and wed by harry in the			
ees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
I declare under pe	enalty of perjury that this information	is true.			
1 Y	y <u>F</u> Jy				
:	_	Server's signature			
		server s signature			
		Printed name and title			
		Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- **(B)** Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.