| Fulkerson v. Costco | Wholesale Corporation et al | Doc. | 25 |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|----|
| | Case 3:20-cv-00207-MMD-CLB Document 25 | Filed 10/14/20 Page 1 01 1 | |
| | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 3 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | HEATH FULKERSON, | Case No. 3:20-CV-00207-MMD-CLB | |
| 6 | Plaintiff, | ORDER | |
| 7 | V. | | |
| 8 | COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, | | |
| 9 | Defendant. | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Plaintiff filed a motion for an unspecified extension of time to answer discovery | | |
| 12 | propounded by defendant (ECF No. 23). Defendant filed an opposition (ECF No. 24). No | | |
| 13 | reply was filed. | | |
| 14 | Plaintiff's motion does not comport with the Local Rules in general, and more | | |
| 15 | specifically LR IA 6-1 (extensions of time) and LR 26-3 (discovery extensions). Most | | |
| 16 | importantly, Plaintiff fails to include a certification pursuant to LR 26-6(c) which requires | | |
| 17 | that he certify that he attempted to meet and confer with defense counsel in an effort to | | |
| 18 | resolve this issue without court involvement. However, because Plaintiff is appearing pro | | |
| 19 | se, the court will provide Plaintiff some leniency with this particular request. In the future, | | |
| 20 | however, Plaintiff's requests must comport with the rules and requirements of the court | | |
| 21 | regardless of his pro se status. | | |
| 22 | Therefore, Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 23) is GRANTED. | | |
| 23 | Plaintiff shall respond to defendant's discovery requests no later than October 30, 2020 . | | |
| 24 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 25 | DATED: October 14, 2020 | \sim | |
| 26 27 | K) ni | | |
| 27 28 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | | |
| 20 | | | |
| | | \sim | |

Dockets.Justia.com