28 //

country for case-specific discovery and trial. (MDL 2641, ECF No. 19899, 20672, 21472.) While this action was not in the MDL and was not transferred with the remanded cases, the issues and causes of action are substantially similar.

- 3. Here, Plaintiff filed his complaint on June 10, 2020. Bard removed the case to the Northern District of Texas and that court transferred the case to the District of Nevada on August 20, 2020. Since that date, the Parties have engaged in further settlement discussions and have recently reached a settlement in principle.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 6(b) and 26, and the Court's inherent authority and discretion to manage its own docket, this Court has the authority to grant the requested stay. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) ("When an act may or must be done within a specified time the court may, for good cause, extend the time...."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) ("A party or any person from whom discovery is sought may move for a protective order in the court where the action is pending . . . The court may, for good cause, issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense.").
- 5. This Court therefore has broad discretion to stay proceedings as incidental to its power to control its own docket particularly where, as here, a stay would promote judicial economy and efficiency. *Bacon v. Reyes*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 143300, at \*4 (D. Nev. Oct. 3, 2013) (*citing, Munoz-Santana v. U.S. I.N.S.*, 742 F.2d 561, 562 (9th Cir. 1984)) ("Whether to grant a stay is within the discretion of the court"); *Lockyer v. Mirant Corp.*, 398 F.3d 1098, 1109 (9th Cir. 2005) ("A district court has discretionary power to stay proceedings in its own court."); *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) ("[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants.").
- 6. Furthermore, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and 26(d) vest the Court with authority to limit the scope of discovery or control its sequence. *Crawford-El v. Britton*, 523 U.S. 574, 598 (1998) ("Rule 26 vests the trial judge with broad discretion to tailor discovery narrowly and to dictate the sequence of discovery.")

25 | /

In deciding whether to stay proceedings, courts weigh the competing interests of the parties and the court.

Among those competing interests are the possible damage which may result from the granting of a stay, the hardship or inequity which a party may suffer in being required to go forward, and the orderly course of justice measured in terms of the simplifying or complicating of issues, proof, and questions of law which could be expected to result from a stay.

Lockyer, 398 F.3d at 1110 (citing Landis, 299 U.S. at 255). Facilitating the efforts of parties to resolve their disputes weighs in favor of granting a stay. In Coker v. Dowd, 2:13-cv-0994-JCM-NJK, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201845, at \*2-3 (D. Nev. July 8, 2013), the parties requested a 60-day stay to facilitate ongoing settlement negotiations and permit them to mediate global settlement. The Court granted the stay, finding the parties would be prejudiced if required to move forward with discovery at that time and a stay would potentially prevent an unnecessary complication in the case. *Id.* at \*3. Here, the Parties have reached a settlement in principle.

- 7. Accordingly, the Parties jointly move this Court for an order staying discovery and pretrial deadlines until April 12, 2021.
- 8. The Parties agree that the relief sought herein is necessary to handle the case in the most economical fashion. The relief sought in this stipulation is not being requested for delay, but so that justice may be done.

//

9 | / /

/ / /

,,,

///

///

WHEREFORE, the Parties jointly request that discovery and all pretrial deadlines be staved 1 2 until April 12, 2021 to allow the Parties to finalize settlement documents. 3 4 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 5 DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of February 2021. 6 7 FEARS NACHAWATI, PLLC **GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLC** 8 /s/ Steven Schulte /s/ Eric W. Swanis Eric W. Swanis 9 Steven Schulte (Admitted PHV) Nevada Bar No. 6840 10 Texas Bar No. 24051306 Email: swanise@gtlaw.com Email: schulte@fnlawfirm.com 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600 11 5473 Blair Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Dallas, TX 75231 12 Telephone: (214) 890-0711 Facsimile: (214) 890-0712 Counsel for Defendants 13 14 Counsel for Plaintiff 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that by 17 April 12, 2021, the parties must file Dated February 16, 2021. either dismissal documents or a joint 18 status report about the status of - Lowekan 19 settlement. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28