



1 findings and recommendations.”) (emphasis in original); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, Advisory  
2 Committee Notes (1983) (providing that the Court “need only satisfy itself that there is no  
3 clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”).

4 Because there is no objection, the Court need not conduct de novo review, and is  
5 satisfied Judge Baldwin did not clearly err. Here, Judge Baldwin first recommends  
6 granting Plaintiff’s IFP Application because her review of it indicates he cannot pay the  
7 filing fee. (ECF No. 5 at 2.) Judge Baldwin then recommends dismissing Plaintiff’s  
8 Complaint because it does not satisfy the Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2) pleading standard. (*Id.*  
9 at 3-4.) Judge Baldwin alternatively recommends dismissing Plaintiff’s Complaint  
10 because he attempts to bring claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against private parties, and  
11 thus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. (*Id.* at 4-5.) The Court agrees  
12 with Judge Baldwin. Having reviewed the R&R and the record in this case, the Court will  
13 adopt the R&R in full.

14 It is therefore ordered that Judge Baldwin’s Report and Recommendation (ECF  
15 No. 5) is accepted and adopted in full.

16 It is further ordered that Plaintiff’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF  
17 No. 1) is granted.

18 The Clerk of Court is directed to file Plaintiff’s Complaint (ECF No. 1-1).

19 It is further ordered that Plaintiff’s Complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is dismissed, in its  
20 entirety, with prejudice.

21 The Clerk of Court is further directed to enter judgment accordingly and close this  
22 case.

23 DATED THIS 18<sup>th</sup> Day of February 2021.

24 

25  
26 

---

MIRANDA M. DU  
27 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
28