

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

CHRISTOPHER KELLER.

Case No. 3:23-CV-00435-CLB

Plaintiff,

v.

CALVIN JOHNSON, *et al.*,

[ECF No. 31]

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
AND/OR FOR A CONTINUANCE**

10 Before the Court is Plaintiff Christopher Keller's ("Keller") motion for appointment
11 of counsel and/or for a continuance. (ECF No. 31.) For the reasons discussed below, the
12 motion is denied.

I. DISCUSSION

Keller's motion seems to assert that he has been having difficulty getting discovery responses from Defendants and this is the basis for requesting counsel and/or a continuance. (See ECF No. 31.) However, Keller's motion does not address any of the factors related to appointment of counsel, or for an extension of time.

To the extent Keller is requesting appointment of counsel, the request is denied. There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel in a § 1983 action. *E.g., Rand v. Rowland*, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), opinion reinstated in pertinent part, 154 F.3d 952, 954 n.1 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The provision in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) gives the court discretion to “request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); *see, e.g., Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). While the decision to request counsel lies within the discretion of the district court, the court may exercise this discretion to request counsel only under “exceptional circumstances.” *Terrell v. Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991). Keller does not provide any argument to support his request for counsel, except to state he is having difficulties with discovery, which is insufficient and does not constitute

exceptional circumstances. (See ECF No. 31.)

As to Keller's general request for a "continuance," the Court interprets this as a request to extend discovery deadlines in this case. (See *id.*) On December 23, 2024, the Court granted another motion to extend time filed by Keller and noted explicitly that "No further extensions of time will be granted absent extraordinary circumstances." (ECF No. 28 (emphasis in original).) Keller has not shown that extraordinary circumstances exist to further extend discovery, which is set to close on May 19, 2025. Therefore, this request is also denied.

II. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that Keller's motion for appointment of counsel and/or for a continuance, (ECF No. 31), is **DENIED**.

DATED: March 10, 2025


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE