28

BRIAN M. BOYNTON 1 Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General JOSEPH E. BORSON Assistant Director JOHN ROBINSON 3 Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 616-8489 6 john.j.robinson@usdoj.gov 7 Attorneys for Defendants 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 WASHOE COUNTY, a political subdivision of Case No. 3:24-cv-00224-ART-CSD the State of Nevada, 11 12 Plaintiff, v. 13 Stipulation and Order to Extend LOUIS DEJOY, in his official capacity as Defendants' Answering Deadline Postmaster General of the United States, and the 14 UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, (Second Request) 15 Defendants. 16 Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, the parties stipulate that Defendants' deadline to answer or 17 otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) will be extended by twenty-six days, 18 from September 4, 2024, to September 30, 2024. The reasons for this stipulation are as 19 follows. 20 1. On May 28, 2024, Plaintiff filed its Complaint in this matter, alleging, among 21 other things, that the U.S. Postal Service acted *ultra vires* by implementing the network 22 optimization phase of its "Delivering for America" plan without first seeking an advisory 23 opinion from the Postal Regulatory Commission. Plaintiff also challenged the Postal 24 Service's plans to move certain processing operations from Reno to Sacramento. See 25 Compl. ¶¶ 68–69. 26 2. On August 22, 2024, the Postal Service filed a "notice of pre-filing 27

conference" with the Postal Regulatory Commission regarding its intent to seek an advisory

opinion on its plans to transform its processing and transportation networks. *See* Ex. A. Specifically, the Postal Service intends to seek an advisory opinion on its plans to "create a network of Regional Processing and Distribution Centers or Campuses (RPDCs) and Local Processing Centers (LPCs)" and to "implement on a nationwide basis the Regional Transportation Optimization (RTO) initiative." *Id.* at 3. The notice also states that "with respect to the mail processing facility reviews (MPFRS) that the Postal Service conducted in preparation for implementing certain of the changes," which would include the MPFR for the Reno facility, there will be "no additional movement of processing operations associated with these MPFRS . . . until January 2025 at the earliest." *Id.* at 6.

- 3. The Postal Service intends to hold the pre-filing conference on September 5, 2024, and to file a request for an advisory opinion with the Postal Regulatory Commission shortly thereafter.
- 4. Additionally, on August 27, 2024, the Postal Service announced that the Reno Postal facility will continue certain local originating mail processing operations. *See* Ex. B. No mail processing operations associated with the Reno facility will move, if at all, until January 2025, at the earliest.
- 5. In light of these developments, the parties believe that it is in the interest of judicial economy to extend Defendants' answer deadline to September 30, 2024, to allow the parties time to review the Postal Service's request for an advisory opinion and to discuss possible next steps in this case in light of that request.
- 6. This is the second stipulation to extend time for Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint.

		II IS SO STIL CLATED.	II 10 00 5TH CEATED.	
		Dated: August 29, 2024		
1	$_1 \parallel$	11	M. BOYNTON	
2	$2 \parallel$	Washoe County District Attorney Principal	Deputy Assistant Attorney General	
3	3			
4	$4 \parallel$			
5	5		I E. BORSON	
6	5	Deputy District Attorney Assistan	t Director	
7	7	/s/Lindsay L. Liddell /s/John .	Robinson	
8	8	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney	for Defendants	
Ò	9			
10	0			
11	1	IT	IS SO ORDERED.	
12	2			
13	3		0 (2)	
14		United States Magistrate Judge		
15	5			
16			OATED: <u>August 30, 2024</u>	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	/			

IT IS SO STIPULATED.