1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 6 JORDAN ZITO, et al., Case No. 3:24-cv-00295-MMD-CLB 7 Plaintiffs, ORDER ٧. 8 UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, et 9 10 Defendants. 11 12 This matter is referred to the Court for the limited purpose of determining whether 13 in forma pauperis status should continue on appeal. (ECF No. 9.) The Court certifies that 14 any in forma pauperis appeal from its order of dismissal would be frivolous or would not 15 be taken "in good faith" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status 16 should thus be revoked on appeal. See Hooker v. American Airlines, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 17 (9th Cir. 2002) (finding that revocation of forma pauperis status is appropriate where 18 district court finds the appeal to be frivolous). 19 DATED THIS 28th Day of August 2024. 20 21 MIRANDA M. DU CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 22 23 24 25 26 27 28