

1 SPENCER FANE, LLP
Richard F. Holley, Esq.
2 NV Bar No. 3077
Email: rholley@spencerfane.com
3 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
4 Telephone: 702.408.3400
Facsimile: 702.408.3401

5 LUCOSKY BROOKMAN LLP
6 Jean-Marc Zimmerman, Esq.
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
7 Email: jmzimmerman@lucbro.com
101 Wood Avenue South
8 Woodbridge, New Jersey 08830
Telephone: (908) 768-6408

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 Verify Smart Corp,
14 Plaintiff(s),
15 v.
16 Colleen Scammell,
17 Defendant(s).

Case No. 3:24-cv-0559-MMD-CLB
Order Granting *Ex Parte* Emergency Motion
for Enlargement of Time For Service
(First Request)

18
19 Verify Smart Corp. (“Plaintiff” or “VSMR”), by and through its attorneys Richard F.
20 Holley, Esq., of the law firm Spencer Fane LLP and Jean-Marc Zimmerman, Esq., of the law firm
21 Lucosky Brookman LLP hereby submits this *Ex Parte* Emergency Motion for Enlargement of
22 Time for Service of the Summons and the Complaint for an additional forty-five (45) days
23 (“Motion”) on Defendant Colleen Scammell (“Defendant”). This Motion is brought *ex parte*
24 because there are no other parties in the action. The Motion is brought on an emergency basis
25 because the deadline for service expires on March 5, 2025

26 This Motion is made and based upon the pleadings and papers on file herein, the following
27 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Jean-Marc Zimmerman (the
28

1 “Zimmerman Declaration”) submitted in support hereof and filed herein as an attachment, any
2 exhibits attached hereto, and any oral argument presented at a hearing on this matter.

3 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

4 **I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND**

5 1. On December 5, 2025, Plaintiff filed its Complaint in this action against the
6 Defendant for breach of contract; interference with contractual relations; interference with
7 prospective economic advantage; fraud; defamation; and other relief. *See* ECF No. 1. On
8 December 17, 2024, the court granted Jean-Marc Zimmerman’s verified petition for permission to
9 practice pro hac vice (ECF No. 6). On December 24, 2024, the Clerk of the Court issued summons
10 to Defendant, Colleen Scammell (ECF No. 7).

11 2. On December 30, 2024, Plaintiff sent the Summons and Complaint in this matter
12 to ABC Legal Services for service on Defendant in Canada at her home address in Coquitlam,
13 British Columbia, Canada. *See* Zimmerman Declaration ¶ 4.

14 3. On February 14, 2025, personal service had not yet been effectuated on Defendant.
15 Counsel recently learned that ABC Legal Services did not process the order for service of the
16 summons and complaint. *Id.* at ¶ 5.

17 4. Consequently, on February 14, 2025, Plaintiff emailed a copy of the Summons and
18 Complaint to both Defendant and her New Jersey attorney Anthony Arturi, respectively. *Id.* at ¶ 6;
19 *and see* **Exhibits 1 and 2** attached thereto.

20 5. Plaintiff also sent the Summons and Complaint to Defendant by Federal Express
21 which was delivered to Defendant’s home on January 26, 2025. *Id.* at ¶ 7; *and see* **Exhibit 3**
22 attached thereto.

23 6. To date, Defendant has failed to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint in
24 this matter. *Id.* at ¶ 8.

25 7. After exhausting all reasonable efforts to serve Defendant and attempting to comply
26 with proper service deadlines, Plaintiff now respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order
27 allowing the enlargement of time for service of the summons and the complaint for an additional
28 forty-five (45) days.

1 home address and attempting service there, Defendant continues to be difficult to serve and has
2 eluded the extensive attempts that Plaintiff made to locate and serve her.

3 Good cause exists to enlarge the time to serve because Plaintiff has exhausted all
4 reasonable attempts to locate, notify and serve Defendant even though service has not yet been
5 successful. Further, good cause also exists because Plaintiff has been prevented from timely
6 serving Defendant through several factors outside its control—the unsuccessful attempts at serving
7 Defendant. For these reasons, good cause exists for this Court to grant the Motion to enlarge time
8 to serve for an additional forty-five (45) days.

9 **III. CONCLUSION**

10 For the above stated reasons, an order for the enlargement of time to serve the summons
11 and the complaint for an additional forty-five (45) days is warranted and appropriate in the instant
12 case, and Plaintiff's Motion should be granted on that basis.

13 DATED this 5th day of March 2025.

14 SPENCER FANE, LLP

15 /s/ Richard F. Holley
16 Richard F. Holley, Esq. (NV Bar No. 3077)
17 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1600
18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

19 LUCOSKY BROOKMAN LLP
20 Jean-Marc Zimmerman, Esq.
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
101 Wood Avenue South
Woodbridge, New Jersey 08830

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff

22
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

24 
25 _____
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28

DATE: March 5, 2025