

**The United States District Court
District of New Hampshire**

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
D.N.H.
ROCHESTER, N.H.

2008 JUL 25 A 10:53

Steven P. Lemay
15 Pleasant St.
Rochester, NH 03867.

V.

Civil Action No: 08cv304

Microsoft Software Company)
1 Microsoft Way)
Redmond, WA 98052-6399)
President / Owner Bill Gates)
Metrocast Cablevision)
70 East Lancaster Ave.)
Fraiser, Pennsylvania 19355.)
President / James Bruder Jr.)
Google Inc.)
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway)
Mountain View, CA 94043.)
President / Eric Schmidt)
EarthLink)
1375 Peachtree St.)
Atlanta, GA 30309.)
PeoplePC)
100 Pine St., Ste. 1100)
San Francisco, CA 94111.)

Complaint with
Jury Demand

Now Comes: the Petitioner Steven Lemay pro se of 15 Pleasant Street, Rochester, NH
03867 who states as follows.

1. On June 23rd, 2008 the son of the petitioner proceeded play video games on the petitioner's computer when he received a pop up message requesting that he click on the Internet Site. He viewed material that was so sexually explicit, and provocative that it traumatized the petitioner and his son. The petitioner's son was aroused by what he witnessed and continued to click on sites that displayed bestiality, satanic sites, pornographic sites, violence, and nasty language sites.
2. The petitioner requested that the internet companies, porn sites take action to password protect their sites by using Social Security numbers, and that they not allow explicit pornographic material, violence, or provocative language on the front page of the offensive internet sites. Also that the customer prepay the sites before being able to access the sites. The companies have failed to take action in correcting this situation or even remotely consider the fact that the petitioner's son reviewed this material. The petitioner never received an apology letter from these individuals, nothing. The petitioner's son has been severely traumatized by this material, and is waiting to seek counseling, when he is covered by health insurance. The petitioner has conversed with his son, and he also stated that other children are doing the same thing by clicking on these derogatory sites on the Internet. Common sense tells us that when we go into a store to purchase a pornographic magazine that the magazine must be covered in plastic and the front page cannot display nudity of any kind on the front page and furthermore the magazines are usually behind the counter.

3. We have to look at the fact that this type of viewing by a child can have severe affects on the child's well being, development as a child, and as an adult. We also know that the reason that these individuals who received these letters sent by the petitioner did nothing because they either do not care or they were not aware that this type of action was going on. My belief is they are aware of the situation because they were informed by the petitioner.

 4. The petitioner then tried to parental block the Internet providers who allow these sites, and found that the sites could not be blocked individually, or that the software installed on the computer that runs the computer could be blocked but ask for a password everytime the petitioner clicked the mouse button, whether it be on a web-site or the desktop. This continuous asking of the password could allow the petitioner's child or other children to view or oversee the password and allow the child to access the provocative sites.

 5. The Internet is massive the petitioner agrees, however software can be developed to prevent this type of material being viewed by children. The question asked is why was this not stopped along time ago and why was it allowed, and laws not set to protect my son, and other innocent children. What is wrong with our society?
-

6. The petitioner feels guilty in that he feels he should of done more to prevent this situation from happening however the petitioner thought of his son to be innocent in that he not witnessed any type of this behavior. The petitioner cried for over six hours straight because he wants his son to live a healthy, and prosperous life, and not make mistakes that we as adults make so easily.

7. The petitioner knows that this is the only solution to this problem is to take serious action and keep the action strong, and not give up. As soon as we let something like this go then we compromise who we are as humanity.

8. The petitioner knows that if he had his son in his life throughout the 4 years that he was not with me that maybe he would not have been exposed to this material. When a parent makes love with his wife or a significant companion and a child walks accidentally, and views parents beneath the covers, that's far different then the horrible material that my son viewed on the internet. It's severely traumatic for the petitioner as a parent, and my son, along with other innocent children in the world.

9. The petitioner can't put a figure of an amount of money that will ever take away the filth that the petitioner's son witnessed and prays that this honorable court find in their hearts to take serious action against the individuals to keep my son as a child, not as an adult, and protect his innocence. The pain that the petitioner feels for his son is tremendous, nevermind the pain that's around the world knowing that children are

viewing this disgusting material. The petitioner's son has also been physically damaged by viewing these sites in that he is having a problem with touching others, and is having a difficult time keeping his hands to himself. The petitioner's son has been exposed to this material, and it's not his fault.

10. Some individuals say we have too many laws but I say we need laws that have meaning and that protect one another. I'm not a lawmaker nor do I want to be one. I've gone that route, and it seems now that the petitioner has no choice but use the court systems. Money can influence our lawmakers when making laws to protect people. The Constitution was written for a reason, It should be remembered that way, and applied.

Wednesday, July 23, 2008.

Wherefore: The petitioner request that this Honorable Court Order:

- A. Order a Jury Trial.
- B. Order that the Petitioner, and his son be compensated by the decision of a jury.
- C. Order that the companies mentioned above take the appropriate steps to prevent the access of these sites to anyone under the age of 21 years old. The sites should be password protected by at least 10 digits, or a social security number to prevent the access of these sites. Also that access warning labels are placed at the top half of the page, and be no smaller than 2" x 3" in length.

- D. Order that the site not have child porn, explicit sexual material, explicit language, offensive material, bestiality, or satanic material to be able to be viewed on the internet sites to be accessed by any computer system or Internet accessibility.
- E. Order that any satanic, and bestiality sites have an electronic scanning device to fingerprint the thumb prevent the access by children.
- F. Order that if individuals are caught possessing child porn that they be prosecuted, and heavily fined. This includes the companies that allow the sites to be on the Internet. The petitioner feels that aiding and abetting the criminals.
- G. Order that if a settlement is awarded that 80% of the proceeds go to sexual assault victims across the country by the media asking them to call a specific toll free number to be compensated. (Verification will need to be determined by Attorneys)
- H. And for whatever else maybe deemed just, and equitable.

Certification

I certify that a copy of this was delivered in hand on this date to **Microsoft Software Company** 1 Microsoft Way. Redmond, WA 98052-6399. President / Owner Bill Gates
AOL / Netscape Communications 466 Ellis Street Mountain View, CA 94043-4042.
Metrocast Cablevision 70 East Lancaster Ave. Fraiser, Pennsylvania 19355. President / James Bruder Jr.
Google Inc. 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, CA 94043. President / Eric Schmidt
EarthLink 1375 Peachtree St. Atlanta, GA 30309.
PeoplePC 100 Pine St., Ste. 1100 San Francisco, CA 94111.

United States District Court

Respectfully Submitted,


Steven Lemay / Pro Se